Torian, Crawley, Jenkins, Cocke, Robertson, Eubank, and Luke Ancestors – Military and Government Service – Histories Related to these Services and the Value of Service

Richard Torian

2025

Introduction

This study provides the names of ancestors who provided service to their country by being in the military while the country was at war (Section II).

The study also provides the names of ancestors who at some time in their lives occupied a position in government (Section III).

My efforts to compile these names was prompted by my continuing desire to learn more about my ancestors. This study is the fifth in a series of studies, which all have had the same basic goal: knowing who my ancestors were, something of their lives, and something of the histories of their times.

These five previous studies are:

> A History of My Eight Great Grandparents - Richard W. Robertson, Mary A. Eubank, Dale Delafield Luke, Martha F. Shepherd, George Torian, Amelia Blanche Crawley, Charles Augustus Jenkins, and Lillie Shepherd Cocke

> Family History - Living in Virginia's Northern Neck During the 1600s and 1700s – Balls, Carters, Champes, Claughtons, Cralles, Garners, and Washingtons

> Family History – Living Along Virginia Colony's Waterways in the 1600s and 1700s – Lukes, Dales, Etheridges, Veales, Hills, Lewises, Wormeleys, and Others

> Family History – Living in Virginia's Piedmont and Southside Regions in the 1600s and 1700s – Bollings, Cockes, Eubanks, Robertsons, Shepherds, Torians, Wingfields, and Others

> Immigration and Migration – Patterns and Experiences of My Ancestors (Jenkins, Cocke, Torian, Crawley, Robertson, Eubank, Luke, and Others)

Section IV provides something of the value of service to a national welfare.

The results of Google and ChatGPT artificial intelligence searches have been presented extensively to provide insights related to ancestor service.

II. Ancestor Military Service During Wars

a. Introduction The purpose of this section is to identify ancestors who were in the military during the following wars: Indian Uprisings (1600s); Cherokee Indian Wars (mid -1700s); War for Independence (1770s, 1780s); The War of 1812 (1812-1814); Western Indian Wars (1800s); Civil War (1861-1865); World War II (1941-1945); and the Korean War (1950-1953). The ancestors include Richard W. Robertson, Mary A. Eubank, Dale Delafield Luke, Martha F. Shepherd, George Torian, Amelia Blanche Crawley, Charles Augustus Jenkins, and Lillie Shepherd Cocke. The ancestors also include the ancestors of these eight great grandparents going back to the 1600s.

This section also provides information on these ancestors relevant to their military service. This information is based on research I have done on these ancestors.

And the Section includes the results of several artificial intelligence searches (Google AI and ChatGPT) providing information related to various topics associated with wars and ancestors.

The following table provides the ancestors names who were in the military during American wars and the page with information on the ancestor.

Wars	Ancestors	Page
Indian Uprisings (1600s)	John Carter (1613-1669)	7
	Edward Hill (?-1663)	7
	Thomas Matthew (1630-1705)	7
	George Reade (1608-1674)	7
	John Washington (1631-1677)	7
Cherokee Indian Wars (mid-1700s)	Abraham Buckholts (1729-1812)	10
War of Independence(1770s, 1780s)	Abraham Buckholts (1729-1812)	11
	Jacob Buckholts (1755-1826)	11
	Thomas R. Cramer (1710-1793)	13
	John Cralle (1724-1778)	14
	Richard Dale (1756-1826)	16
	Conrad Hires Sr. (1744-1782)	17
	Benjamin Jenkins (1743-1814)	18
	Rodlam Kenner (1700s-?)	19
	Fielding Lewis (1725-1781)	19

	Isaac Luke (1729-1784)	22
	Paul Dale Luke (1761-1819)	23
	Joseph Noble (1740-1826)	23
	John Shepherd (1738-1796)	24
	Robert Stark (1740-1806)	25
	Robert Stark Jr. (1762-1830)	26
	Charles Wingfield (1728-1803)	27
	John Wingfield (1747-1812)	28
The War of 1812 (1812-1814)	John Luke (1793-1866)	29
	Horatio Stark (1778-1828)	30
	Samuel Williams (1785-1823)	32
Western Indian Wars (1800s)	Horatio Stark (1778-1828)	36
Civil War (1861-1865)	John Shepherd Cocke (1798-1877)	38
	Richard Hull Crawley (1820-1865)	38
	Carter Jenkins (1843-1863)	40
	Dale Delafield (D.D.) Luke (1838-?)	42
	Granville Gratiot (G.G.) Luke (1833-?)	44
	William F. Luke (?-?)	44
	John Thomas Torian (1844-1920)	45
	Leonard B. Torian (1833-1862)	46
World War II (1941-1945)	Richard Robertson (1900-1978)	47
	Frank L. Stokes (1921-1944)	49
	Melvin C. Torian (1903-1984)	50
Korean War (1950-1953)	Richard Robertson (1900-1978)	52
	William Beale Stokes, Jr. (1919-1983)	52

b. Indian Uprisings (1600s)

John Carter (1613-1669)   John Carter is an ancestor of my great grandparent Charles Augustus Jenkins (B:1850 Yazoo County, Mississippi; D:1927 Clayton, Johnston, North Carolina)

In 1664, John Carter led a force of 170 men from Virginia's Lancaster, Northumberland, and Westmoreland County militias to put down Indian uprisings that occurred in that year.

Edward Hill I (?-1663)   Edward Hill I is an ancestor of my great grandparent Charles Augustus Jenkins (B:1850 Yazoo County, Mississippi; D:1927 Clayton, Johnston, North Carolina)

Edward Hill I, as a militia officer, participated in engagements, some successfully and some not, against native Americans.

Thomas Matthew (1630-1705) Thomas Matthew is an ancestor of my great grandparent Amelia Blanche Crawley (B:1859 Halifax County, Virginia; D:1937 Brookneal, Campbell, Virginia)

Thomas Matthew, who was born in Hampshire County just southwest of London, immigrated to Northumberland County sometime before the 1670s. Thomas apparently help trigger, by engaging in hostilities with Indians on and around his property in Northumberland County, the period that is known as Bacon's Rebellion (1675-76). We know this because he wrote an account of the Bacon's Rebellion called "The Beginning, Progress, and Conclusion of Bacon's Rebellion in Virginia in the Years 1675 and 1676", after his return to England where he died.

George Reade (1608-1674) George Reade is an ancestor of my great grandparent Charles Augustus Jenkins (B:1850 Yazoo County, Mississippi; D:1927 Clayton, Johnston, North Carolina)

George Reade immigrated from the Hampshire area of England around 1637 and settled in York County, Virginia. As a militia member, he fought against Indian uprisings, attaining the rank of colonel.

John Washington (1631-1677)   John Washington is an ancestor of my great grandparent Charles Augustus Jenkins (B:1850 Yazoo County, Mississippi; D:1927 Clayton, Johnston, North Carolina)

John, who was an officer in the Westmoreland County, Virginia militia, lead an attack that slaughtered several Indians in Maryland; Indians that may not have been the intended guilty party. This attack was one of a few events that escalated into Bacon's Rebellion.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about Indian Uprisings in the 1600s

The most significant Indian uprising in the Virginia colonies during the 1600s was the "Anglo-Powhatan Wars," a series of conflicts between the English settlers of Jamestown and the Powhatan Native American confederacy, primarily marked by the "Indian Massacre of 1622" where the Powhatan tribes launched a coordinated attack on the settlements, killing hundreds of colonists due to growing tensions over land encroachment and unfair trade practices by the colonists; this conflict eventually led to the near decimation of the Powhatan power and further displacement of Native Americans in the region.

The Powhatan Confederacy: The primary Native American group involved in the conflicts was the Powhatan confederacy, led by Chief Powhatan, who initially attempted to maintain a tense peace with the colonists but eventually launched attacks due to growing grievances.

First Anglo-Powhatan War (1609-1614): The first major conflict between the colonists and the Powhatan people, marked by raids and skirmishes, which temporarily ended with the marriage of Pocahontas, Powhatan's daughter, to John Rolfe.

Indian Massacre of 1622: Considered the most significant event in the Anglo-Powhatan Wars, where the Powhatan tribes launched a coordinated attack on the English settlements, resulting in a large number of casualties among the colonists.

Second Anglo-Powhatan War (1622-1632): Following the 1622 massacre, the conflict continued with further retaliatory raids from both sides, leading to the weakening of the Powhatan power.

Later Conflicts: Throughout the 1600s, smaller conflicts continued to arise between settlers and various Native American tribes as the colonists expanded their territory, culminating in events like "Bacon's Rebellion" in the late 1670s, where settlers, frustrated by perceived inadequate protection from Indian raids, rebelled against the colonial government.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about English Colonists and Native American Relationship and Conflicts

The relationship between 1600s English colonists and Native Americans was initially marked by attempts at cooperation and trade, but quickly deteriorated into widespread conflict due to the colonists' expansionist desires, cultural clashes, and the devastating impact of European diseases on Native populations, leading to the displacement and subjugation of Native tribes across the

colonized land, with notable conflicts like King Philip's War in New England serving as a prime example of the brutal violence that ensued.

Early Interactions: Upon arrival, colonists often relied on Native Americans for food, trade, and knowledge of the land, sometimes establishing seemingly peaceful relationships with initial interactions appearing friendly and collaborative.

Cultural Differences and Misunderstandings: The differing cultural practices and worldviews between colonists and Native Americans created friction, as the colonists viewed Native land ownership and practices as primitive and sought to assimilate Native populations into their own society.

Disease Impact: The introduction of European diseases like smallpox and measles by colonists had a devastating impact on Native populations, significantly reducing their numbers and weakening their resistance to colonial expansion.

Land Acquisition and Conflict: As colonial settlements grew, colonists increasingly desired more land, leading to conflicts with Native tribes who resisted displacement from their ancestral territories.

Key Conflicts. King Philip's War (1675-1676): A major conflict in New England, led by Metacom (known as "King Philip"), a Wampanoag chief, against English settlers due to growing tensions over land encroachment.

Bacon's Rebellion (1676): A rebellion in Virginia led by Nathaniel Bacon against the colonial government, partially fueled by tensions with Native Americans over land and protection from raids.

Colonial Policies: Colonists often employed policies of forced removal, reservation systems, and assimilation attempts to control Native populations.

Unequal Power Dynamics: Historians generally agree that the relationship between colonists and Native Americans was deeply imbalanced, with colonists holding significant military and technological advantages, ultimately leading to the subjugation of Native communities.

Colonial Narrative and Justification: Colonial accounts often portrayed Native Americans as "savages" to justify land seizure and military action, perpetuating harmful stereotypes.

Complexities and Resistance: While many Native tribes were decimated by colonization, they also displayed significant resilience through resistance movements and cultural preservation.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Viriginia Militia

The Virginia militia was established in 1607 as part of the English system, essentially making all able-bodied men in the Virginia colony part of a defense force against Native American attacks,

with the primary purpose of protecting the colony and upholding the Governor's authority; this meant mandatory military service for all free males, and local counties organized their own militia companies under the leadership of county leaders.

Founding at Jamestown: The militia was formed alongside the founding of Jamestown, with Captain John Smith playing a significant role in organizing the early defense force.

Local organization: Each county in Virginia had its own militia company, with local leaders responsible for identifying and mobilizing members.

Defense against Native Americans: The primary threat faced by the Virginia militia in the 1600s was attacks from indigenous populations, particularly the Powhatan Confederacy.

Compulsory service: All free men were required to serve in the militia, which meant they had to be ready to respond to threats when needed.

Limited training and discipline: Due to the nature of a citizen militia, training and discipline could be inconsistent, with many members only participating in drills when necessary.

Bacon's Rebellion: A significant event in Virginia militia history was Bacon's Rebellion (1675-1676), where disgruntled colonists, including Nathaniel Bacon, used the militia to rebel against the colonial government.

c. Cherokee Indian Wars (mid -1700s)

Abraham Buckholts (1729-1812) Abraham Buckholts is an ancestor of my great grandparent Charles Augustus Jenkins (B:1850 Yazoo County, Mississippi; D:1927 Clayton, Johnston, North Carolina)

Abraham Buckholts was a Major in the South Carolina militia and participated in the 1758-1761 war (campaign) against the Cherokee Indians, whose homelands included areas in what today is western South Carolina.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about the Cherokee Indian Wars

The Cherokee Indian wars in the South Carolina colony during the 1700s, primarily known as the "Anglo-Cherokee War," were a series of conflicts between the Cherokee tribe and British colonists in the region, primarily stemming from growing tensions over land encroachment, unfair trade practices, and the Cherokee feeling undervalued for their military support during the French and Indian War, leading to raids on settlements and retaliatory attacks by the British

forces between 1758 and 1761; this conflict resulted in significant Cherokee land losses and further strained relations between the Native American tribe and the colonists.

Cause of conflict: The primary reason for the war was the increasing pressure from British colonists expanding into Cherokee territory, coupled with the Cherokee's dissatisfaction with their treatment by the British, including inadequate compensation for their assistance in fighting the French.

Major events: Early skirmishes: The conflict began in Virginia when settlers attacked Cherokee warriors returning from fighting against the French, triggering retaliatory raids by the Cherokee on settlements in North Carolina and South Carolina.

British response: British forces, under the command of General James Grant, launched military campaigns against Cherokee towns, destroying villages and displacing large numbers of Cherokee people.

Treaty of peace: The war concluded with the Treaty of Charlestown in 1762, where the Cherokee were forced to cede large portions of their land to the British Crown.

Impact on the Cherokee: The Anglo-Cherokee War resulted in significant losses for the Cherokee, including the loss of their traditional lands, displacement from their homes, and a decline in their population.

d. War of Independence (1775-1783)

Abraham Buckholts (1729-1812) Abraham Buckholts is an ancestor of my great grandparent Charles Augustus Jenkins (B:1850 Yazoo County, Mississippi; D:1927 Clayton, Johnston, North Carolina)

An Abraham Buckholts with a birth and death date of 1729 and 1812, respectively, is known to have been a Major in the South Carolina militia and to have participated in the 1758-1761 war (campaign) against the Cherokee Indians, whose homelands included areas in what today is western South Carolina. Although reaching age fifty by the Revolution War, Major Buckholts is known to have also participated in the Revolution War.

Jacob Buckholts (1755-1826)   Jacob Buckholts is an ancestor of my great grandparent Charles Augustus Jenkins (B:1850 Yazoo County, Mississippi; D:1927 Clayton, Johnston, North Carolina)

Sources show Jacob Buckholts as Capt. Buckholts who fought in the Revolution War in South Carolina. Jacob Buckholts served as a captain in the South Carolina Militia under General Francis Marion (the Swamp Fox). Capt. Buckholts commanded, for a time during the siege of Charleston in 1779, the Racoon Company of Riflemen. Records indicate Capt. Buckholts participated in the battles of Fort Moultrie and Charleston Neck.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about General Francis Marion (the Swamp Fox)

General Francis Marion, often called the "Swamp Fox," achieved significant success during the American Revolutionary War by employing guerilla tactics in the South Carolina backcountry, primarily against British forces, using his intimate knowledge of the swampy terrain to launch surprise attacks, capture supplies, and harass larger British troops, often with a much smaller militia force, ultimately contributing significantly to the Patriot victory in the South Carolina theater of war; his most notable exploits included capturing Fort Watson and Fort Motte on the Santee River, and participating in the Battle of Eutaw Springs.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about the Racoon Company of Riflemen

The "Racoon Company of Riflemen" was a small group of American militia sharpshooters, primarily composed of Native American riflemen from the Pee Dee, Waccamaw, and Cheraw tribes, who played a role in defending Charleston, South Carolina during the British siege in 1780, known as the Siege of Charleston, during the Revolutionary War; they were particularly noted for their scouting and harassment tactics against the British forces due to their familiarity with the local terrain.

Composition: Primarily made up of Native American shooters from the Pee Dee, Waccamaw, and Cheraw tribes, known for their hunting skills and marksmanship.

Role in the Siege: They were tasked with scouting the British movements, harassing their outposts with long-range rifle fire, and providing intelligence on British troop positions due to their intimate knowledge of the local swamps and waterways.

Limited Size: The company was relatively small, consisting of only a few dozen men.

Significance: Despite their small numbers, the Racoon Company's guerilla tactics and knowledge of the terrain proved valuable in disrupting British operations during the siege.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about the Battles of Fort Moultrie and Charleston Neck

The Battle of Fort Moultrie, also known as the Battle of Sullivan's Island, was a major victory for the Patriots during the Revolutionary War. On June 28, 1776, a small Patriot army under Colonel William Moultrie defeated a British fleet of nine men-of-war. The British fleet was forced to retreat after a nine-hour battle. The victory boosted Patriot morale and helped the Patriots gain control of the Carolina Lowcountry. It also increased support for independence among South Carolina's population.

The Battle of Charleston Neck was part of the Siege of Charleston, a major British victory in the American Revolutionary War. The Siege of Charleston was one of the worst defeats for the Americans during the war. The loss of Charleston had serious implications, including:

American morale: The loss of Charleston cast doubt on the possibility of American independence.

British strategy: The British gained a vital base for operations to rally American Loyalists and reconquer the southern states.

British treatment of civilians: The British treatment of civilians was brutal, leading many to turn towards the Patriot cause.

Thamas R. Comer (1710-1793)   Thamas R. Comer is an ancestor of my great grandparent George Torian (B:1854 Halifax County, Virginia; D: 1923 Brookneal, Campbell, Virginia)

Thomas might have been a soldier in the Revolutionary War. Thamas was a Halifax County, Virginia resident.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about Halifax County, Virginia's Involvement in the Revolutionary War

During the American Revolutionary War, Halifax County, Virginia played a significant role by providing soldiers to the Continental Army, supplying food and supplies, and experiencing the movement of both British and American forces through its territory, most notably when General Nathanael Greene's Continental Army strategically maneuvered near the county's borders in 1781, forcing the British to retreat towards North Carolina; this included utilizing the nearby Irvine's and Boyd's ferries.

Military contributions: Many residents of Halifax County volunteered to fight in the Continental Army during the war.

Supply hub: The county provided essential food and supplies to the American forces.

British presence: In 1781, British General Cornwallis retreated through Halifax County while being pursued by General Greene.

Strategic movement: Greene's successful tactical maneuver near the county's borders, utilizing the nearby ferries at Irvine's and Boyd's, significantly impacted the war's trajectory.

Wiley's Tavern: A notable local tavern, "Wiley's Tavern," served as a temporary headquarters for both British and American generals during this period.

John Cralle (1724-1778)   John Cralle is an ancestor of my great grandparent Amelia Blanche Crawley (B:1859 Halifax County, Virginia; D:1937 Brookneal, Campbell, Virginia)

John Cralle served as a sergeant in the 5th Virginia Regiment fighting in the Revolutionary War. The regiment saw action in New Jersey (Trenton, Princeton) and Pennsylvania (Brandywine, Germantown). While the regiment was in winter encampment at Valley Forge, John died on February 1, 1778.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about the 5th Virginia Regiment

The 5th Virginia Regiment, formed in February 1776 at Richmond County Courthouse, was a unit of the Continental Army during the American Revolutionary War, primarily composed of men recruited from counties like Lancaster, Richmond, Northumberland, and Spotsylvania in Virginia; they participated in major battles including Trenton, Princeton, Brandywine, Germantown, Monmouth, and the Siege of Charleston, with notable commanders like Colonel Josiah Parker and General William Russell leading the regiment throughout the war.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Valley Forge Winter Encampment

The Valley Forge winter, which took place during the American Revolution in 1777-1778, is historically significant as a period of extreme hardship for the Continental Army under George Washington, where despite brutal conditions and lack of supplies, the troops endured and ultimately emerged as a more disciplined and unified force, marking a turning point in the war towards American victory; this transformation was largely due to the arrival of Prussian military officer Baron von Steuben who trained the soldiers at Valley Forge, significantly improving their combat capabilities.

Harsh conditions: The Continental Army faced a harsh winter with inadequate food, clothing, and shelter, leading to widespread disease and death among the soldiers.

Leadership test: George Washington's leadership was crucial in maintaining morale and preventing the army from disbanding despite the extreme difficulties.

Arrival of Baron von Steuben: A key development was the arrival of Prussian military expert Baron Friedrich Wilhelm von Steuben, who significantly improved the army's training and discipline through rigorous drills.

Impact on the war: Though the winter at Valley Forge was a period of hardship, it ultimately contributed to the Continental Army's ability to fight more effectively in the later stages of the Revolutionary War, contributing to the eventual American victory.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about the Continental Army

The Continental Army was the official military force of the American colonies during the Revolutionary War, established by the Second Continental Congress in 1775, and led by George Washington as Commander-in-Chief.

This army fought against the British forces, achieving key victories like Saratoga and Yorktown, ultimately contributing significantly to securing American independence despite facing challenges like supply shortages and workforce issues throughout the conflict.

Formation: Created in response to the growing tensions with Britain, the Continental Army was formed to unify the military efforts of the thirteen colonies under a single command.

Leadership: George Washington was appointed as the commander-in-chief, playing a crucial role in maintaining morale and leading the army through numerous battles.

Early struggles: The Continental Army initially faced difficulties due to lack of training, inconsistent supplies, and short enlistment periods for soldiers, often leading to low troop numbers.

Some of the most important battles fought by the Continental Army include the Siege of Boston, the Battle of Saratoga (considered a turning point), the Battle of Trenton, and the Siege of Yorktown (which effectively ended the war).

Harsh conditions: Soldiers often endured harsh conditions including inadequate food, clothing, and medical care, particularly during the winter at Valley Forge.

Impact on American independence: Despite facing setbacks, the Continental Army's perseverance and key victories played a vital role in convincing France to join the American cause and ultimately securing American independence from Britain.

Surviving Revolutionary War soldiers experienced conflicts between the United States government and the states over what entity (the federal or the state government) was responsible for paying pensions. Difficulties included uncertainties related to whether a soldier's unit was

primarily a federal unit (for example, the Continental Army) or a state unit (for example state-formed regiments and county militia). Often the state units would fight alongside the Continental Army, but not exclusively. The difficulties led go many court cases (suits) reaching into the mid-1800s over who had responsibilities for paying soldiers pensions. Both sides (the federal and the states) were often eager for the other side to assume responsibility for the pension payments.

Richard Dale (1756-1826)   Richard Dale is an ancestor of my great grandparent Dale Delafield Luke (B:1838 Portsmouth, Virginia; D:1826)

Richard Dale had an interesting and productive career serving on several ships, both American and British, during the Revolutionary War, including serving as John Paul Jones' first lieutenant in naval battles against the British in English waters. Dale would become one of the first commodores of the US Navy and commanded a blockade of Tripoli in 1801 during the First Barbary War of Thomas Jefferson's presidency.

In 1794, President George Washington nominated Richard Dake to be captain of one of the first six frigates of the US Navy.

In 1798, President John Adams nominated Richard Dale to be promoted to the rank of Captain in the US Navy. In 1798, Dale was appointed Captain of the 44-gun USS United States, one of the of six frigates entering service in the US Navy. The USS United States was launched from a shipyard along the Delaware River, in Philadelphia, with a large crowd watching the launch.

When not serving in the US Navy, Dale captained merchant ships, including trips to Canton China.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about the Barbary War and the Blockade of Tripoli

The Barbary War, specifically the "First Barbary War" or "Tripolitan War," in the early 1800s saw the United States engage in a conflict against the North African state of Tripoli, primarily due to the refusal of President Thomas Jefferson to continue paying tribute to the Barbary pirates who demanded protection money for American ships sailing in the Mediterranean; this led to a naval blockade of Tripoli harbor, where the U.S. Navy enforced a blockade to pressure Tripoli into ending its attacks on American vessels.

Background: For centuries, the Barbary pirates operating out of North African states like Tripoli had routinely captured ships and demanded ransoms from European nations, including the practice of demanding tribute in exchange for safe passage.

Triggering event: The Pasha of Tripoli demanded increased tribute from the U.S., which President Jefferson refused to pay, leading to Tripoli declaring war on the United States in 1801.

U.S. Naval Response: To counter the attacks, President Jefferson dispatched a U.S. naval squadron to the Mediterranean under Commodore Richard Dale, initiating a blockade of Tripoli harbor.

Key events during the blockade: Capture of the USS Philadelphia: A crucial incident occurred when the American frigate USS Philadelphia ran aground near Tripoli and was captured by the Tripolitans.

Decatur's daring raid: Lieutenant Stephen Decatur, in a daring night operation, led a small boat crew to recapture and burn the captured Philadelphia, significantly boosting American morale.

Bombardment of Tripoli: Under Commodore Edward Preble, the U.S. Navy launched a sustained bombardment of Tripoli's coastal defenses.

Outcome: After several years of naval operations and a land campaign led by William Eaton, Tripoli eventually agreed to a peace treaty in 1805, ending the war and establishing the principle that the U.S. would not pay tribute to Barbary pirates.

Significance: The Barbary War is considered a significant early test of the U.S. Navy, demonstrating its ability to operate on a foreign stage and successfully confront piracy in the Mediterranean.

The US Navy's response is considered to be the Navy's first overseas major accomplishment. The mission also established the use and value of a "marine force" of soldiers assigned to Navy ships and is considered to be the birth of the United States Marine Corps.

Conrad Hires Sr. (1744-1782)   Conrad Hires Sr. is an ancestor of my great grandparent Lillie Shepherd Cocke (B:1854 Albemarle County, Virginia; D:1922 Clayton, Johnston, North Carolina)

Conrad Hires, Sr. enlisted in Capt. Cornelius Neukirch's Company of Foot Militia, Second Regiment, Salem County Militia during the Revolutionary War. He also served under Col. Joseph Ellis.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about the Salem County Militia, New Jersey and the Revolutionary War, and Col. Joseph Ellis

The Salem County's militia was formed in 1776, two months after the battles of Lexington and Concord, in the style of the Massachusetts Minutemen. The militia disarmed local Tories and fought against British raiders and Loyalists.

In May 1776, the Salem County militia watched helplessly as the British warships Roebuck and Liverpool chased the American brig Lexington on the Delaware River.

In 1778, the British came ashore (from ships in the Delaware River) in large numbers along the southern coasts of Salem and Cumberland Counties. They retaliated against the residents of Salem County for providing food and supplies to George Washington's troops at Valley Forge. The British launched the Salem Raid along the American defensive line at Alloway's Creek. This was a period of great stress for residents of Salem and Cumberland Counties.

New Jersey saw more revolutionary action than any other colony due to its strategic location between New York City and Philadelphia.

Central and southern New Jersey were important areas for potentially providing livestock and other food products, which both the American and British Armies desperately needed.

The American Revolution divided New Jersey society, with many citizens loyal to the British Crown and others supporting the new nation. The state's governor, William Franklin (Benjamin Franklin's son), was a notable loyalist.

Joseph Ellis was a prominent figure in New Jersey during the Revolutionary War, serving as a Colonel in the Gloucester County militia regiment, actively participating in protests against the British Parliament, and eventually becoming a strong advocate for American independence. He was known for his leadership in local militia and serving as Gloucester County Sheriff while balancing his role as a Patriot, often demonstrating fairness even towards those with differing political views.

Ellis and his militia provided important barriers against in the British attempts to move across the Delaware River from New Jersey into the Philadelphia area.

Originally a Quaker, Ellis was expelled from the Society of Friends due to his military service in the French and Indian War, which likely prepared him for the Revolutionary War.

Benjamin Jenkins (1743-1814) Benjamin Jenkins is an ancestor of my great grandparent Charles Augustus Jenkins (B:1850 Yazoo County, Mississippi; D:1927 Clayton, Johnston, North Carolina)

A Benjamin Jenkins is found as a Georgian soldier (serving in the navy) in the Revolution War. In the mid-1780s, Benjamin Jenkins received land in the Mississippi Territory from the Georgia State, for his service in the Revolution War. Apparently, Benjamin had his home destroyed by British forces. Receiving land in the Mississippi Territory is consistent with concluding that this Benjamin Jenkins is ancestor Benjamin Jenkins who dies in the Mississippi Territory.

A Natchez paper listed a Benjamin Jenkins as having received a pension from the US Government. This is consistent with what is known about the Georgian Benjamin Jenkins (believed to be the ancestor Benjamin Jenkins), who was in the Georgian Navy during the Revolution and for his service was awarded land in the Mississippi Territory by Georgia.

National Archives data indicates that a Benjamin Jenkins received a discharge from the regular Army between 1792 and 1815. Whether this is ancestor Benjamin Jenkins is not known. But the date of ancestor Jenkins death (1814) does not preclude the possibility.

Rodham Kenner (1700s-?)   Rodham Kenner is an ancestor of my great grandparent Amelia Blanche Crawley (B:1859 Halifax County, Virginia; D:1937 Brookneal, Campbell, Virginia)

Rodham Kenner was a crew member on the ship Protector, trying to defend Virginia's Northern Neck coaster waters during the American Revolutionary War. Because of the waterways surrounding the Northern Neck (e.g., two major rivers and the Chesapeake Bay), the Northern Neck had an involvement in naval operations during the Revolution War.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about the Northern Neck's role in Naval Operations During the Revolutionary War

The Northern Neck of Virginia was important in Revolutionary War naval operations because its unique geographic location on the Chesapeake Bay, bordered by the Potomac and Rappahannock Rivers, provided a strategic area for both British and American forces to control waterways, access vital shipping routes, and launch operations against the opposing side. Due to the skilled local sailors familiar with the region's complex waters; many Northern Neck men served in the Continental Navy as sailors due to their navigation expertise.

The region could serve as a base for American privateers to launch attacks against British shipping.

Due to its access to the Chesapeake Bay, the British Navy often targeted the Northern Neck for raids and supplies.

Fielding Lewis (1725-1781)   Fielding Lewis is an ancestor of my great grandparent Charles Augustus Jenkins (B:1850 Yazoo County, Mississippi; D:1927 Clayton, Johnston, North Carolina)

Fielding Lewis was a strong supporter of the American Revolution, and his contributions to the war effort were many.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about Fielding Lewis

Fielding Lewis was primarily known as "Colonel Lewis" and his role involved managing munitions and providing supplies to the Continental Army, leveraging his business acumen as a merchant. He was the Commissary General of Munitions

Notable Roles

Gun manufacturing: Lewis operated a gun manufacturing company, using his own fortune to fund the business. He was a commissioner for the Virginia Assembly's "Manufactory of Small Arms in Fredericksburg, Va.".

Shipbuilding: Lewis purchased and built ships to patrol the Rappahannock River, including the Liberty, Defiance, and Adventure. He also commissioned the construction of the Dragon, which patrolled the Chesapeake Bay.

Supplies: Lewis supplied the Continental Army and state militia with supplies like salt, flour, bacon, and clothing.

Committee work: Lewis was elected chairperson of the Spotsylvania County Committee in 1774. He also served on other local government committees.

Raising regiments: Lewis raised regiments to add manpower to the Continental Army.

Correspondence: Lewis corresponded with George Washington about his gun manufacturer and the lack of supplies. His relationship with Washington deepened his commitment to the cause.

Lewis died from tuberculosis on December 7, 1781, just six weeks after the British surrender at Yorktown.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about the Munitions Manufacturing Industry

During the American Revolutionary War, the munitions manufacturing industry faced significant challenges due to a lack of established infrastructure, limited domestic production capacity, and the need to rely heavily on imports, leading to frequent shortages of ammunition, particularly gunpowder, which significantly hampered the Continental Army's war effort.

Key Challenges and Problems

Limited domestic production: Prior to the war, the colonies primarily relied on imported munitions, meaning they had little capacity to manufacture large quantities of ammunition domestically.

Lack of standardization: Different states and manufacturers produced munitions with varying standards, creating compatibility issues on the battlefield.

Supply chain disruptions: British blockades often hampered the importation of essential munitions from Europe.

Financial constraints: The Continental Congress struggled to secure sufficient funds to purchase necessary munitions, leading to shortages.

Technological limitations: Existing manufacturing techniques were not optimized for large-scale production of high-quality ammunition.

Specific issues with munitions: The most critical shortage, gunpowder, was essential for firing muskets.

Lead ball inconsistency: Variations in the size and quality of lead balls affected accuracy.

Poor quality musket cartridges: Issues with paper cartridges, including inconsistent powder charges, impacted firing reliability.

However, despite these obstacles, some successes were achieved.

Small-scale domestic production: Despite limitations, several small-scale munitions factories were established in the colonies, particularly in areas with access to necessary raw materials like saltpeter for gunpowder.

Private enterprise involvement: Some private manufacturers contributed to the war effort by producing munitions under government contracts.

Foreign support: France, a key ally, provided substantial quantities of munitions throughout the war, helping to alleviate shortages.

Early foundation for a national arms industry: The war highlighted the need for a domestic munitions production capability, which eventually led to the establishment after the Revolution of federal arsenals like the one at Harpers Ferry.

Overall, while the Revolutionary War munitions industry faced severe challenges due to a lack of established manufacturing capacity, the war spurred the development of a nascent domestic arms industry and laid the groundwork for future military production capabilities in the United States.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about State Militias

During the American Revolutionary War, state militias played an important role for the American cause, despite being considered unreliable by some. Militia units were decisive in some important battles, including the Battles of Lexington and Concord, and the Battle of Bunker Hill.

Here are some other details about the militia during the Revolutionary War.

Defense: The militia's primary role was to defend their colonies, and they were the first line of defense during an attack.

Manpower: The militia provided temporary manpower for the Continental Army.

Logistics: Militia units attacked British supply lines and Loyalist units, which impacted the British army's logistics.

Organization: Each colony had its own militia, except for Pennsylvania. Towns and counties formed their own local militias for defense.

County Lieutenants: These civilian officers were responsible for ensuring militia units were prepared for military exercises, and for providing them with arms and equipment.

Fines: Militia members who declined to serve could pay a fine instead, which could be used to hire substitutes.

Unpredictability: British commanders knew the militia were unpredictable, but they could not ignore them.

Isaac Luke (1729-1784)   Isaac Luke is an ancestor of my great grandparent Dale Delafield Luke B: (1838 Portsmouth, Virginia; D: unknown)

Isaac was in the Portsmouth Virginia militia during the Revolutionary War period.

 Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about the Portsmouth, Virginia Militia

During the Revolutionary War, the Portsmouth, Virginia militia played a key role in resisting British forces, particularly during the occupation of the town by General Benedict Arnold in 1781; the local militia actively gathered intelligence and hampered British operations, with notable figures like enslaved Patriot James Fayette operating as a double agent within the British ranks, providing crucial information that contributed to the American victory at Yorktown; the British also used Portsmouth as a base to launch operations against the surrounding area, leading to skirmishes with the Virginia militia throughout the conflict.

British occupation: In 1781, General Benedict Arnold's British forces occupied Portsmouth, making it a strategic point for their operations in Virginia.

Patriot resistance: Local militia members, including enslaved individuals like James Fayette, actively worked against the British by providing intelligence and disrupting their plans.

Fort Nelson: Located near Portsmouth, Fort Nelson was a key defensive position that the Patriots used to resist British forces.

Lord Dunmore's role: Prior to Arnold's occupation, the last Royal Governor of Virginia, Lord Dunmore, had used Portsmouth as a base before being driven away by the Virginia militia following the Battle of Great Bridge.

Impact on the war: The actions of the Portsmouth militia, including their intelligence gathering and resistance against British occupation, played a significant role in the overall American victory in the Revolutionary War.

Paul Dale Luke (1761-1819)   Paul Dale Luke is an ancestor of my great grandparent Dale Delafield Luke B: (1838 Portsmouth, Virginia; D: unknown)

Paul Dale Luke was an ensign in Capt. Samuel Veale's Company from 1776 to 1779. Capt. Veale's Company was likely part of the Portsmouth, Virginia militia. See Isaac Luke above for key points about the Portsmouth, Virginia militia.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about the Ensign Rank During the Revolutionary War

During the Revolutionary War, the rank of ensign represented the lowest commissioned officer rank in the military, typically responsible for carrying the regimental flag into battle and maintaining order within the company, while other ranks like lieutenants and captains held greater command authority on the battlefield; the hierarchy of ranks allowed for clear chain of command and efficient military operations.

Lowest officer rank: An ensign was the lowest ranking commissioned officer in both the Continental Army and the British Army during the Revolutionary War.

Flag bearer: Primarily, an ensign's duty was to carry the regiment's flag into battle, often rotating with other ensigns within the unit.

Discipline and order: Besides carrying the flag, ensigns were also tasked with maintaining discipline and cleanliness among the soldiers in their company.

Young officers: Typically, ensigns were young men with social connections who aspired to rise through the ranks.

Joseph Noble (1740-1826)   Joseph Noble is an ancestor of my great grandparent Richard W. Robertson (B:1831 Amelia County, Virginia; D:1918 Richmond City, Virginia)

In 1780, a Joseph Noble from Amelia County Virgina was an ensign in a Virginia unit. This is possibly ancestor Joseph Noble who lived in Amelia County.

John Shepherd (1738-1796)   John Shepherd is an ancestor of my great grandparent Lillie Shepherd Cocke (B:1854 Albemarle County, Virginia; D:1922 Clayton, Johnston, North Carolina)

A Muster Roll, dated February 1778, shows that a John Shepherd was a corporal in Capt. William Cherry's Company in the 4th Virginia Regiment, commanded by Major Isaac Bealle. The 4th Virginia Regiment participated in several Revolutionary War engagements. Whether this John Shepherd is ancestor John Shepherd, who is buried in Fluvanna County, Virginia, is not known, but ancestor John Shepherd's age and place of birth is consistent with information associated with Corporal John Shepherd.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about the 4th Virginia Regiment and Major Bealle

The 4th Virginia Regiment, commanded by Major Isaac Bealle during the American Revolutionary War, was a Continental Army unit primarily known for its participation in the defense of the frontier against British-allied Native American tribes, particularly in the Ohio Country, and is notably recognized for Major Bealle's leadership in the capture of Fort Henry, a key British outpost on the Ohio River. The 4th Virginia Regiment operated from western Virgina in the Frederick County, Westminster Town area.

Formation and Composition: The 4th Virginia Regiment was formed in 1776 and primarily recruited soldiers from the counties of eastern Virginia.

Major Isaac Bealle: Bealle, a prominent Virginia officer, gained recognition for his aggressive tactics and leadership in frontier warfare.

Fort Henry: One of the regiment's most notable actions was the capture of Fort Henry in 1778, a strategically important British fort on the Ohio River. Major Bealle led a surprise attack, capturing the fort and securing vital supplies.

Frontier Defense: Throughout the war, the 4th Virginia Regiment played a critical role in defending American settlements against Native American raids supported by the British in the Ohio Country.

Legacy: The regiment's actions, especially under Bealle's command, contributed significantly to securing the western frontier during the Revolutionary War, helping to establish American control over the Ohio Valley.

In 1781, Capt. William Cherry of the 4th Regiment, was involved in a special mission of transporting funds from Virginia's capital, Richmond, to the Frederick County, Virginia headquarters of the 4th Regiment. These funds were needed to purchase needed supplies. Governor Thomas Jefferson was directly involved in facilitating this mission's accomplishment.

Thomas Jefferson had an active interest in the work of the 4th Virginia Regiment, which is consistent with Jefferson's interest, as president, in the United States' involvement and growth in the west.

Robert Stark (1740-1806)   Robert Stark is an ancestor of my great grandparent Charles Augustus Jenkins (B:1850 Yazoo County, Mississippi; D:1927 Clayton, Johnston, North Carolina)

Robert Stark migrated from Virginia to South Carolina Colony's Ninety-Six District and Edgefield County, where he served in the area's militia. While in the militia (as a colonel) he took part in the War of Independence, was captured by the British in the Charleston surrender, and was held as a prisoner for two years in Charleston.

Colonel Stark made an admirable contribution to the Revolution War efforts in South Carolina. He was at the successful defense of Charleston in 1776 and was also there in 1780, when the British captured Charleston, and Col. Stark. He remained a prisoner until 1781.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Charleston Surrender

The surrender of American troops in Charleston, South Carolina in 1780, considered the largest surrender of American forces during the Revolutionary War, had devastating consequences for the Patriot cause, significantly bolstering British control in the South, leaving the region open to further British advances, and triggering a period of intense guerrilla warfare between Patriots and Loyalists in the Carolinas; this major loss also severely impacted American morale and cast doubt on the potential for victory in the war.

Large-scale loss of troops: Over 5,000 American soldiers were captured, representing a massive blow to the Continental Army.

British dominance in the South: The British gained a crucial base of operations in Charleston, allowing them to consolidate their hold on the Southern colonies.

Rise of partisan warfare: With conventional forces significantly depleted, Patriot resistance in the South shifted towards guerilla tactics, leading to a chaotic and brutal conflict between Patriots and Loyalists.

Negative impact on American morale: The surrender at Charleston was seen as a major setback for the American cause, potentially undermining public support for the war effort.

Harsh treatment of prisoners: The British denied the American soldiers the honors of war, leading to harsh conditions for prisoners, including confinement on prison ships.

Robert Stark Jr. (1762-1830)   Robert Stark Jr. is an ancestor of my great grandparent Charles Augustus Jenkins (B:1850 Yazoo County, Mississippi; D:1927 Clayton, Johnston, North Carolina)

Robert Stark, Jr., Col. Stark's son (see above for more on Col. Stark) also served in the Revolution War. Information indicates he was in the Battles of Black Storks, Eutaw Springs, and Cowpens, all in South Carolina. Eutaw Springs, next to the last major battle of the Revolution War (next to Yorktown), took place in the vicinity of Charleston, and was important in helping to drive the British out in 1781. In 1781, a Robert Stark Jr. was an adjutant in Hammond's Regiment of Light Dragoons. This is likely Robert Stark, Jr.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about the Battles of Black Storks, Eutaw Springs, and Cowpens

The Battle of Black Storks, also called the Battle of Blackstock's Plantation, was a significant engagement in the American Revolutionary War, fought in South Carolina on November 20, 1780, where American militia under General Thomas Sumter successfully defeated a British force led by Colonel Banastre Tarleton, marking a key moment where a militia force inflicted heavy losses on British regulars, significantly damaging Tarleton's reputation and boosting Patriot morale in the South.

The Battle of Eutaw Springs was a major battle that took place on September 8, 1781, near Charleston, South Carolina:

The battle was fought between the British, led by Lieutenant Colonel Alexander Stewart, and the American Patriots, led by General Nathanael Greene. The battle was one of the bloodiest and most difficult of the war.

The battle took place when Greene wanted to prevent Stewart from joining General Lord Cornwallis in the event of Cornwallis' retreat from Yorktown. The battle began when Greene's vanguard captured foragers and engaged British dragoons. Greene's main body deployed into three battle lines to engage the British and eventually gained the upper hand. However, on September 9, 1781, Stewart withdrew his forces to Charleston, where they remained until the end of the war.

Battle's Outcome Implications

Patriots' victory: The Patriots' partial victory gave them near-complete control of the southern region of the country.

British confinement: The battle limited the British's ability to move around their backcountry outposts, confining them to the coast of South Carolina.

Controversies: The battle was hard-fought and both sides experienced controversies in the aftermath.

The Battle of Cowpens was a key victory for the American Patriots during the American Revolutionary War and is considered a turning point in the war's Southern Campaign. The battle took place on January 17, 1781, in a pasture near Thicketty Creek in South Carolina.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about Hammond's Light Dragoons

"Hammond's Regiment of Light Dragoons" refers to a unit of cavalry during the American Revolutionary War, specifically a part of the Continental Army, often associated with South Carolina, and named after Colonel Joseph Hammond who commanded it; this regiment is known for its role in several battles in the southern theater of the war, particularly against British forces in the Carolinas, engaging in reconnaissance, harassment tactics, and mounted charges against enemy cavalry.

Leadership: Led by Colonel Joseph Hammond, a South Carolina native, the regiment was primarily composed of local cavalrymen familiar with the terrain.

Area of Operation: Primarily active in the Southern states, particularly South Carolina, where they harassed British supply lines and engaged in skirmishes against British cavalry units.

Notable Engagements: Participated in battles like the Battle of Cowpens, where their mounted charges, played a crucial role in the American victory.

Legacy: Though not a large unit, Hammond's Light Dragoons are recognized for their effective use of cavalry tactics against the British, contributing to the overall American success in the South.

Charles Wingfield (1728-1803)   Charles Wingfield is an ancestor of my great grandparent Mary A. Eubank (B:1825 Amherst County, Virginia; D:1916 Richmond City, Virginia)

Charles was a lieutenant during the Revolutionary War. He was in the Albemarle County Militia.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about the Albemarle County Militia

The Albemarle County, Virginia militia during the Revolutionary War was primarily responsible for local defense and providing soldiers to the Continental Army.

Leadership: Thomas Jefferson, a prominent figure in the Revolution, served as the Colonel of the Albemarle County Militia, managing the local military operations.

Notable event: During the second British invasion of Virginia, British forces under Colonel Banastre Tarleton attempted to capture the Virginia legislature in Charlottesville, but were warned by Captain John Jouett, allowing the legislators to escape.

Contributions to the Continental Army: The Albemarle County militia provided soldiers to the Virginia regiments of the Continental Army, contributing to the overall war effort.

"Albemarle Barracks": After the Battle of Saratoga, British prisoners of war were held in a camp near Charlottesville, known as the "Albemarle Barracks". The Albemarle County Militia saw service at "Albemarle Barracks."

Membership: The militia was primarily composed of white male residents of Albemarle County, with compulsory military service for eligible men.

John Wingfield (1747-1812)   John Wingfield is an ancestor of my great grandparent Mary A. Eubank (B:1825 Amherst County, Virginia; D: May1916 Richmond City, Virginia)

A John Wingfield is on lists of Albemarle citizens fighting in the Revolutionary War. This is likely ancestor John Wingfield.

Wingfields are believed to have been in the 14th Virginia Regiment, which was formed with men from Albemarle, Goochland, Louisa, Lunenburg, and other counties for service in the Continental Army.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about the 14th Virginia Regiment

The 14th Virginia Regiment, raised in September 1776 during the American Revolution, was a significant unit that primarily saw action in the northern theater, participating in major battles like Brandywine, Germantown, and Monmouth, before ultimately being captured at the 1780 Siege of Charleston, South Carolina, marking a major loss for the Continental Army in the southern campaign.

The 14th Virginia Regiment's capture significantly weakened American forces in the region and highlighted the challenges faced by the Continental Army.

The 14th Virginia Regiment was disbanded and became the 10th Virginia Regimen due to a reorganization of Virginia State Regiments to reduce costs and improve management.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points about State Regiments

During the American Revolutionary War, each of the thirteen colonies contributed their own regiments to the Continental Army, with notable variations in size, composition, and primary areas of operation depending on their geographic location.

Structure: Each state raised multiple regiments, often numbered consecutively, with each regiment further divided into companies led by captains.

Militia System: Many soldiers initially came from state militias, which could be called upon for short-term service during local emergencies, but the Continental Army eventually sought longer enlistments for sustained combat.

Notable Regiments

Massachusetts: "The Minutemen" known for their quick mobilization, played a crucial role in the early battles like Lexington and Concord.

Virginia: "The Virginia Line" including prominent cavalry units led by figures like Colonel George Washington.

Pennsylvania: "The Pennsylvania Line" known for their consistent service throughout the war, including key battles in New Jersey.

New York: "The New York Line" primarily engaged in battles in the Hudson River Valley.

Important factors to consider.

Leadership: State regiments were often led by prominent local commanders who sometimes rose to leadership positions within the Continental Army.

Supply Issues: States faced challenges in providing adequate supplies and pay to their troops, which impacted morale and troop strength.

Political Influence: State politics could sometimes influence the deployment and priorities of their regiments.

e. War of 1812 (1812-1815)

John Luke (1793-1866)   John Luke is an ancestor of my great grandparent Dale Delafield Luke B: (1838 Portsmouth, Virginia; D: unknown)

John Luke served in the War of 1812. War of 1812 records show John Luke, private, was at Lambert's Point Road (which is in Norfolk, just across the harbor from Craney Island), in Capt. Richard Kelsick's Company of Volunteer Riflemen, detached from the 7th Virginia Regiment.

These War of 1812 records are verified in a Society of the Soldiers of the War of 1812 - 2nd District (Tidewater), State of Virginia meeting book, available at the Library of Virginia. This society held annual meetings in the 1850s and 1860s, and John Luke attended some of these meetings, and paid dues from at least 1857 to 1866 (the year he died). The book lists John as a member of Capt. Keswick's Company.

In1855, the National Convention of Soldiers of 1812-15 was held in Washinton DC, with several hundred attending. Virginia has the largest number of delegates, including ancestor John Luke representing Portsmouth. Both Houses of Congress adjourned in recognition of the convention, and President Pierce greeted convention marches as the arrived at the White House.

Horatio Stark (1778-1828)   Horatio Stark is an ancestor of my great grandparent Charles Augustus Jenkins (B:1850 Yazoo County, Mississippi; D:1927 Clayton, Johnston, North Carolina)

Horatio Stark became a lieutenant colonel in the Army during a career serving in the United States' western frontier.

In 1799, Horatio became an officer member of the "New Army" being formed by the United States. The formation involved the transfer of officers from the states' regiments and militia into the new army. Horatio, along with many other Virginia unit officers were transferred. Horatio transferred with the rank of ensign. A 1799 record indicates that at the time of the transfer Horatio was identified with (lived at, or was from) Stevensburg, Culpeper County, Virginia.

Horatio experienced steady promotions in the new army: in 1801 to 2nd Lt; in 1803 to 1st Lt.; and in 1808 to Capt. He was associated with the 1st Regiment of Infantry and 7th Regiment of Infantry during this period.

The primary duty of the 1st Infantry Regiment was to protect the frontier, including building forts, controlling settler migration, and engaging in diplomacy with Native American tribes.

In 1799, Horatio received a recommendation for being suitable for assignment to the Quarter Masters (also referred to as the Department of Inspectorship).

In 1802, as a lieutenant, Horatio was at Fort Michilimackinac (to become Fort Mackinac). This fort was at where the lower and upper Michigan peninsulas connect; would be attacked by the British in the War of 1812; and would be a staging area for sending soldiers further west to fight in the Western Indian Wars.

Later, Horatio would serve at Fort Adams. Fort Adams in Mississippi, situated on Davion's Bluff along the Mississippi River, was built in 1799 to mark and defend the boundary between Spanish and American territories in the region; it gained historical significance in the early 1800s as the

site where the "Treaty of Fort Adams" was signed with the Choctaw Nation in 1801, which resulted in a large land cession to the United States by the Choctaw Nation.

In May 1809, while he was assigned to the Army's 1st Infantry at the regimental headquarters, Fort Adams, Mississippi, Capt. Stark was reassigned to become the commander of Fort Madison, on the Mississippi River, in what today is Iowa. Fort Madison was built in 1808 as one of the most western trading posts. Trading posts were intended to be used where the US Army could trade with the surrounding Indian tribes.

An 1804 treaty with various Indian tribes allowed such posts, including Fort Madison. Unfortunately, some Indian tribes did not understand this, and Fort Madison almost immediately became the target of repeated Indian attacks, designed to eliminate the Fort. By the time Capt. Stark arrived in 1809 attacks were frequent. Capt. Stark would continue to be in command at Fort Madison until 1812. Shortly after his departure, the army decided to give up on Fort Madison and its mission and abandoned the Fort in 1813.

In 1815, Horatio left the Army as a lieutenant colonel.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about Forts Adams and Madison in the late 1700s and early 1800s

Location and purpose: Built on Davion's Bluff, a location previously occupied by a French Jesuit mission, Fort Adams was strategically placed to monitor the Mississippi River and serve as a key point of defense against potential Spanish incursions.

Treaty of Fort Adams: The most notable event associated with Fort Adams was the signing of the Choctaw Treaty of Fort Adams in 1801, where the Choctaw tribe ceded a substantial portion of their land to the United States in exchange for goods and compensation.

Early European presence: The site of Fort Adams had a history of European presence dating back to the late 17th century when French explorers like Fathers Davion and Montigny established a mission there.

American expansion: Fort Adams played a vital role in the westward expansion of the United States, as it helped solidify American control over the Mississippi River valley.

Fort Madison, located in what is now Iowa near the Mississippi River, was established in 1808 as a U.S. military outpost to control trade and pacify Native Americans in the newly acquired Louisiana Purchase territory following the Louisiana Purchase; it was primarily a trading post where the U.S. Army interacted with Native Americans; most notably a conflict with Chief Black Hawk during the War of 1812, marking the only major War of 1812 battle west of the Mississippi River at that location. The fort was active as a military post from 1808 to 1813.

Samuel Williams (1785-1823)   Samuel Williams is an ancestor of my great grandparent Richard W. Robertson (B:1831 Amelia County, Virginia; D:1918 Richmond City, Virginia)

Amelia County, Virginia records identify a Samuel Williams as serving in the US-British 1812 War as a musician. He was in the 1st Regiment of Virginia Militia, County of Amelia, under the command of Capt. Tilman E. Jeter.

Military musicians were important participants in battles fought in the War of 1812, as they were in previous wars, and would continue to be up to, and through the US Civil War. The musicians provided signals during battle that represented commander orders to the soldiers. By the War of 1812, bugles were beginning to be used, in addition to the traditional fifes and drums. Not only was the music provided by the military musician an important communication system during engagements, but musical instruments were also used in non-combat situations to signal wake-up, retirement, meal, and other events during the military day. Military musicians were also important for providing inspirational and ceremonial enhancements.

As a musician in the Amelia militia, Samuel Williams would be paid more than a private, e.g., $6 a month versus $5 a month. Sergeants might be paid $8 a month. Internet resources suggest that as many as 12,000 Virginia militiamen saw action in the 1812 war. Areas of engagements included: northern Virginia; the Richmond area; and the Norfolk-Hampton area. Some Virginia militias are known to have been sent to the Ohio Western Frontier areas. It would be interesting to know what engagements Samuel might have been in and what his instrument was.

ChatGPT Artificial Intelligence Search on the History of the Use of Music, Musicians, and Bands by the United States Army

The history of the use of music, musicians, and bands by the United States Army dates to the earliest days of the nation's military forces and reflects the importance of music in maintaining morale, communication, and ceremonial tradition. Here is a broad overview of its history:

Colonial and Revolutionary Era (1600s-1770s)

- **Field Music**: In the colonial era, drummers, fifers, and trumpeters played a crucial role in military life. They were used to signal orders during battle, mark time in drills, and maintain camp discipline. These signals were necessary due to the lack of electronic communication.
- **Revolutionary War**: During the American Revolutionary War, regiments often included fifers and drummers. This tradition followed European military practices, especially British influences. Popular tunes of the time would often be modified for use as marching cadences or ceremonial pieces.

19th Century and the Civil War (1800s-1865)

- **Band Regiments**: By the early 19th century, brass bands became more popular within the U.S. Army. Music was recognized as a morale booster and a way to build camaraderie among troops. Many regiments in the Mexican American War and Civil War maintained their own bands, performing patriotic tunes, popular songs, and marches. Music was used to honor fallen comrades during funerals and to boost spirits before battle.
- **Camp and Battlefield Music**: Bands performed during troop movements, and music served to entertain soldiers in camp. Military musicians were often soldiers themselves and doubled as stretcher-bearers or medics during combat.

Post-Civil War to World War I (1865-1918)

- **Organized Bands**: After the Civil War, Army bands became more formalized, with regulations on their formation, size, and use. Music remained an integral part of military life, used during drills, parades, ceremonies, and official events. Famous composers such as John Philip Sousa, known as the "March King," rose to prominence during this era. He began his career as a U.S. Marine Band conductor and significantly influenced military and civilian music culture.
- **World War I**: Army bands played a critical role in maintaining soldier morale both at home and on the European front. Patriotic music was used to garner support for the war effort and maintain national unity.

World War II (1939-1945)

- **Boosting Morale**: During World War II, Army bands accompanied soldiers to Europe, Africa, and the Pacific. The U.S. Army recognized the need for entertainment and psychological relief amidst the stresses of war. Popular music, jazz, swing, and patriotic tunes became essential for maintaining morale.
- **Special Service Bands**: The Army established "Special Service" bands, including racially integrated units. Legendary artists like Glenn Miller, who led the Army Air Forces Band, became cultural icons during this time.

The Post-War Era and Cold War (1945-1991)

- **Ceremonial Bands**: Following WWII, Army bands continued their focus on ceremonial functions, including parades, state functions, and international events. The U.S. Army Band, known as "Pershing's Own," remains a prominent ceremonial band based in Washington, D.C.

- **Cultural Diplomacy**: During the Cold War, Army bands were deployed to promote American culture and ideals abroad. Music became part of "soft power" initiatives, with musicians performing jazz and other American music styles in various countries to build goodwill and counter Soviet influence.

Modern Era (1991-Present)

- **Morale, Welfare, and Recreational Bands**: In recent conflicts such as Iraq and Afghanistan, Army bands have played a role in entertaining and maintaining the morale of deployed troops. They perform a wide range of music, reflecting the diverse tastes of modern service members.
- **Diversity and Innovation**: Today, Army bands include a variety of ensembles such as concert bands, rock bands, jazz ensembles, and ceremonial groups. Their mission extends beyond military functions to community outreach, education, and representing the Army at public and international events.

The U.S. Army's use of music has evolved over time, adapting to technological changes, cultural shifts, and strategic needs. It remains a powerful tool for connecting soldiers, fostering unity, and representing American values at home and abroad.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the War of 1812

The War of 1812 was a conflict between the United States and Great Britain, primarily sparked by British interference with American trade, the practice of "impressment" where British sailors forcibly removed American seamen from ships, and a desire for American territorial expansion, particularly in Canada; although the war ended with no major territorial changes, it significantly boosted American national pride and fostered a sense of unity, leading to the "Era of Good Feelings" while also highlighting the need for a stronger American military and domestic manufacturing capabilities.

Key Causes

British Orders-in-Council: Restrictions imposed by Britain on neutral trade during the Napoleonic Wars, severely impacting American commerce.

Impressment: The British practice of forcibly taking sailors from American ships, claiming they were British deserters.

Native American tensions: British support for Native American tribes resisting westward American expansion, particularly under leaders like Tecumseh.

Desire for Canadian territory: Some Americans saw the war as an opportunity to acquire British-held territory in Canada.

Major Events

Declaration of War: The United States declared war on Great Britain on June 18, 1812.

Early American setbacks: Initial attempts to invade Canada were unsuccessful, and the American military faced significant challenges.

Burning of Washington D.C.: British forces captured and burned the American capital in August 1814.

Battle of Baltimore: The defense of Baltimore, where the "Star-Spangled Banner" was raised, became a symbol of American resistance.

Battle of New Orleans: A decisive American victory under Andrew Jackson, occurring after the war had technically ended, further boosting national pride.

Treaty of Ghent: The war concluded with the signing of the Treaty of Ghent in December 1814, which restored pre-war conditions.

Significance and Implications

Nationalism: The War of 1812 solidified a sense of American national identity and pride, marking the end of the Federalist Party and ushering in the "Era of Good Feelings".

Economic Development: The war spurred the development of American manufacturing and infrastructure, as trade disruptions forced the nation to become more self-sufficient.

Military Strengthening: The war highlighted the need for a stronger American military, particularly the Navy, leading to investments in naval power.

Native American Impact: The war significantly weakened Native American resistance to westward expansion, as British support dwindled.

Analysis

Mixed Military Performance: While the United States did not achieve major territorial gains, the war demonstrated American resilience against a powerful British adversary, especially in defensive battles.

Political Motivations: The war was influenced by domestic political factions, with some groups using the conflict to advance their agendas.

Long-term Legacy: The War of 1812 is considered a turning point in American history, marking the nation's emergence as a significant player on the world stage.

f. Western Indian Wars (1800s)

Horatio Stark (1778-1828)   Horatio Stark is an ancestor of my great grandparent Charles Augustus Jenkins (B:1850 Yazoo County, Mississippi; D:1927 Clayton, Johnston, North Carolina)

Horatio Stark became a lieutenant colonel in the Army during a career serving in the United States' western frontier.

In 1799, Horatio became an officer member of the "New Army" being formed by the United States. The formation involved the transfer of officers from the states' regiments and militia into the new army. Horatio, along with many other Virginia unit officers were transferred. Horatio transferred with the rank of ensign. A 1799 record indicates that at the time of the transfer Horatio was identified with (lived at, or was from) Stevensburg, Culpeper County, Virginia.

Horatio experienced steady promotions in the new army: in 1801 to 2nd Lt; in 1803 to 1st Lt.; and in 1808 to Captain. He was associated with the 1st Regiment of Infantry and 7th Regiment of Infantry during this period.

The primary duty of the 1st Infantry Regiment was to protect the frontier, including building forts, controlling settler migration, and engaging in diplomacy with Native American tribes.

In 1799, Horatio received a recommendation for being suitable for assignment to the Quarter Masters (also referred to as the Department of Inspectorship).

In 1802, as a lieutenant, Horatio was at Fort Michilimackinac (to become Fort Mackinac). This fort was at where the lower and upper Michigan peninsulas connect; would be attacked by the British in the War of 1812; and would be a staging area for sending soldiers further west to fight in the Western Indian Wars.

Later, Horatio would serve at Fort Adams. Fort Adams in Mississippi, situated on Davion's Bluff along the Mississippi River, was built in 1799 to mark and defend the boundary between Spanish and American territories in the region; it gained historical significance in the early 1800s as the site where the "Treaty of Fort Adams" was signed with the Choctaw Nation in 1801, which resulted in a large land cession to the United States by the Choctaw Nation.

In May 1809, while he was assigned to the Army's 1st Infantry at the regimental headquarters, Fort Adams, Mississippi, Capt. Stark was reassigned to become the commander of Fort Madison, on the Mississippi River, in what today is Iowa. Fort Madison was built in 1808 as one of the most western trading posts. Trading posts were intended to be used where the US Army could trade with the surrounding Indian tribes.

An 1804 treaty with various Indian tribes allowed such posts, including Fort Madison. Unfortunately, some Indian tribes did not understand this, and Fort Madison almost immediately

became the target of repeated Indian attacks, designed to eliminate the Fort. By the time Capt. Stark arrived in 1809 attacks were frequent. Capt. Stark would continue to be in command at Fort Madison until 1812. Shortly after his departure, the army decided to give up on Fort Madison and its mission and abandoned the Fort in 1813.

In 1815, Horatio left the Army as a lieutenant colonel.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Indian Wars in the 1800s West of the Mississippi

The "Indian Wars" of the 1800s west of the Mississippi River primarily involved a series of conflicts between various Native American tribes and the expanding United States government and settlers, fueled by the desire for Native American land as settlers moved westward. This lead to forced relocations and brutal battles, most notably epitomized by the "Trail of Tears" where thousands of Native Americans, like the Cherokee, were forcibly removed from their land in the Southeast and marched to Indian Territory (present-day Oklahoma), resulting in significant loss of life during the journey; this period is often referred to as the "Indian Removal Era" and was largely driven by the Indian Removal Act of 1830 signed by President Andrew Jackson.

Causes: The primary cause of these conflicts was the westward expansion of white settlers, who sought to acquire Native American land for farming and development, often violating treaties that had been established.

Notable Conflicts

Creek War (1813-1814): Andrew Jackson led U.S. forces against the Creek Nation, culminating in the Battle of Horseshoe Bend where the Creeks suffered a major defeat.

Seminole Wars (1817-1842): The Seminole tribe in Florida fiercely resisted removal, leading to several protracted wars with the U.S. military.

Trail of Tears (1830s): The forced relocation of various tribes, including the Cherokee, Choctaw, Creek, Chickasaw, and Seminole, from their ancestral lands in the Southeast to Indian Territory, resulting in immense hardship and death.

Key Figures

Andrew Jackson: Played a significant role in the Indian Removal Era, often advocating for aggressive policies against Native American tribes.

General Winfield Scott: Led the military operation to forcibly remove the Cherokee during the Trail of Tears.

Impact: These wars led to the devastating displacement of Native American populations, the loss of their traditional way of life, and a significant cultural disruption.

g. Civil War (1861-1865)

John Sheperd Cocke (1798-1877)   John Sheperd Cocke is an ancestor of my great grandparent Lillie Shepherd Cocke (B:1854 Albemarle County, Virginia; D:1922 Clayton, Johnston, North Carolina)

Information indicates that John was a member of the Albemarle Minutemen, a group of older men formed in 1863 to help the southern cause in the Civil War. John was about 65 years old in 1863. This Minutemen group marched to Gordonsville, close to Charlottesville (18 miles northeast) in 1863, with John's school associate, William Dinwiddie, a commander in the group, to defend the railroad depot against an approaching Union attack.

During the Civil War, "The Cedars" (John's home in western Albemarle County) was used as a confederate hospital. The Cedars use as a hospital during the Civil War was primarily to treat the casualties coming from Major General Stonewall Jackson's Shenandoah Valley Campaign in the spring of 1862.

 Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Albemarle County Minutemen

During the Civil War, the "Albemarle Minutemen" refers to a local militia group from Albemarle County, Virginia, primarily composed of men who would respond quickly to threats, much like the historical "Minutemen" of the American Revolution. Many members joined the 19th Virginia Infantry Regiment, which saw significant action throughout the war, including at Gettysburg. The 19th Virginia Infantry Regiment recruited heavily from Charlottesville and Albemarle County.

Richard Hull Crawley (1820-1865)   Richard Hull Crawley is an ancestor of my great grandparent Amelia Blanche Crawley (B: Jun 1859 Halifax County, Virginia; D: April 1937 Brookneal, Campbell, Virginia)

Richard Hull Crawley was assigned to the 59th Virginia Regiment. The 59th Virginia Regiment was a volunteer regiment raised in Virginia's western counties.

Units of the regiment were captured at Saylor's (also Sailor's) Creek Battle, in Amelia County, Virginia that took place on April 6, 1865. It is possible that it was on this day that Private Richard H. Crawley was captured. The Saylor's Creek Battle was the last major battle between the Confederate Army of Northern Virginia and the Army of the Potomac, and several Confederate units were captured. Three days later, on April 9, 1865, the Confederate Army of Northern Virginia surrenders, effectively ending the Civil War.

Richard died in St. Mary's County, Maryland while he was being held at the Point Lookout Prison for Confederate Army prisoners.

According to Amelia County deeds, in 1762 ancestor Joseph Noble (born England, 1740; died Virginia, 1826) buys 117 acres of land on both sides of Little Saylor Creek. Little Saylor Creek flows into Saylor Creek, and Saylor Creek flows north into the Appomattox River. It is quite possible that this land that Joseph Noble purchased was some of the land that the last battle of the Civil War took place – the Battle of Sailor's Creek. A Union map used in the battle, with the title "The Pursuit of the Rebel Army, April 6th to 8th, 1865, and Battle of Sailor's Creek Va" is at the Library of Congress. This map identifies farms in the area where engagements took place. One of the farms, identified as "M Noble," is along a creek and adjacent to another farm identified as Lockett. The Lockett Farm is known to be the site of one of the major engagements during the two-day Battle of Sailors Creek, and that this engagement took place along Sailor's Creek. (In the 1762 deed, Saylor is used as the spelling. Later the spelling Sailor started to be used.)

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about Virginia's 59th Regiment

Virginia's 59th Regiment, also known as the "2nd Regiment, Wise Legion," was a Confederate infantry unit during the Civil War, primarily composed of men from Virginia and participated in battles including the Seven Days' Battles, the Charleston defenses, and the Petersburg campaign; ultimately surrendering in the Appomattox campaign with many of its members disabled at Saylor's Creek; they were not present at the official surrender due to their heavy casualties.

Formation and Leadership: Organized in August 1861, the regiment was part of "Wise's Brigade" and was led by Colonels like Charles F. Henningsen and William B. Tabb.

Early Engagements: Some companies of the 59th Virginia were captured at Roanoke Island, North Carolina in February 1862, with the remaining companies forming the 26th Virginia Battalion.

Major Theaters of Operation

Seven Days' Battles: The regiment saw action during the Seven Days' Battles around Richmond, Virginia.

Charleston, South Carolina: Later transferred to the Department of South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida, they participated in various conflicts around Charleston.

Petersburg Campaign: In the spring of 1864, the 59th Virginia returned to Virginia and was stationed in the Petersburg trenches.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Battle of Sailor's Creek

The Battle of Sailor's Creek, which took place on April 6, 1865, in Amelia County, Virginia, was a pivotal engagement in the American Civil War where Confederate General Robert E. Lee's retreating Army of Northern Virginia suffered a devastating defeat at the hands of Union forces under General Ulysses S. Grant, effectively marking the end of Lee's army and significantly contributing to his surrender at Appomattox Court House just three days later; the battle is notable for the large number of Confederate soldiers captured, including several high-ranking generals, and is considered one of the last major battles of the war.

Location: The battle primarily occurred near the small stream called Sailor's Creek, located in Amelia County, Virginia.

Military situation: As Lee's army was retreating from Richmond and Petersburg, Union forces pursued them aggressively, catching up to the Confederates near Sailor's Creek.

Main engagements: The battle consisted of several smaller engagements including the fighting at Hillsman's Farm, Marshall's Crossroads, and Lockett's Farm.

Heavy losses for Confederates: Due to the surprise attack and their weakened state, the Confederates suffered significant casualties, with 7,700 soldiers captured, including eight Confederate generals.

Impact on the war: This battle is considered a turning point as it significantly crippled Lee's army, leaving him with few options but to surrender.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Point Lookout Prison

The Point Lookout Prison was established in 1863 shortly after the Battle of Gettysburg and by the end of the war 50,000 Confederate prisoners had passed through its gates. The camp rapidly became overcrowded with thousands of prisoners living in tents, leading to poor sanitary conditions and a high death rate from disease and exposure, with 4,000 Confederate soldiers dying at the site during the war.

The National Park Service maintains the Point Lookout Confederate Cemetery, in St. Mary's County, Maryland, where Confederate soldiers' remains are buried. Richard Hull Crawley is possibly buried at this cemetery.

Carter Jenkins (1843-1863)   Carter Jenkins is the brother of my great grandparent Charles Augustus Jenkins (B:1850 Yazoo County, Mississippi; D:1927 Clayton, Johnston, North Carolina)

Carter Jenkins, age 17 in 1860, is shown on an April 1861 list of privates belonging to Company B, 18th Mississippi Regiment. The 18th Mississippi Regiment becomes part of the "Virginia Army" and will see action in such campaigns as: 1st Battle of Manassas; Battle of Seven Pines; Fredericksburg; Leesburg; Ball's Bluff; Chancellorsville; 2nd Manassas; Harper's Ferry; Sharpsburg; Gettysburg; and Cedar Run.

Information indicates that in July 1863, Carter is promoted to corporeal and then, shortly thereafter, to 2nd Lt. He dies in an Atlanta Hospital in September 1863 from wounds suffered at the Battle of Chickamauga in Georgia. How Carter gets from Company B in Virginia and Maryland to Georgia is not known. Mississippi units from the Army of Northern Virginia reinforced the Army of Tennessee in September 1863, before the Battle of Chickamauga was fought on September 19th to September 20th. Carter was possibly part of this reinforcement.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the 18th Mississippi Infantry Regiment

The 18th Mississippi Infantry Regiment, formed in June 1861 at Corinth, Mississippi, primarily recruited men from counties like Yazoo, Coahoma, Madison, De Soto, and Hinds, and fought primarily in the Army of Northern Virginia throughout the Civil War, participating in major battles like First Manassas, Leesburg, the Seven Days Battles, Chickamauga, Knoxville, and Gettysburg, eventually surrendering with only a small number of men at Appomattox; they were known for their involvement in campaigns across Virginia and the Shenandoah Valley under General Early.

Formation and Recruitment: Organized in early 1861 in Mississippi, drawing soldiers from several counties in the state.

Early Campaigns: Initially sent to Virginia, where they fought at First Manassas and Leesburg.

Major Battles: Participated in numerous significant battles including the Seven Days Battles, Chickamauga, Knoxville, Fredericksburg, Chancellorsville, and Gettysburg.

Commanders: Notable officers included Colonels E.R. Burt and Thomas M. Griffin.

Shenandoah Valley Campaign: Fought alongside General Early in the Shenandoah Valley.

Surrender: A sizable portion of the regiment was captured at Sayler's Creek, with only a few officers and men surrendering at Appomattox.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Battle of Chickamauga

The Battle of Chickamauga was a major Civil War battle that took place in Georgia, September 18–20, 1863.

Location: The battle was fought on Chickamauga Creek in Walker and Catoosa counties, northwest Georgia

Forces: The Union Army of the Cumberland, led by Major General William Rosecrans, fought against the Confederate Army of Tennessee, led by General Braxton Bragg

Casualties: The battle resulted in around 16,000 Union and 18,000 Confederate casualties

Outcome: The battle was considered a Confederate victory, and a strategic defeat for the Union. The Union was forced to retreat to Chattanooga, where Rosecrans captured the city.

Significance: The Battle of Chickamauga was the first major battle of the war fought in Georgia and a significant Union defeat in the Western Theater. It was also the second-highest number of casualties after the Battle of Gettysburg.

The battle was fought in difficult conditions, with hot and dry weather making it difficult to organize the fight. Most of the fighting took place in forests and small clearings.

Dale Delafield (D.D.) Luke (1838-?)   Dale Delafield Luke (B: 1838 Portsmouth, Virginia; D: unknown) is one of my great grandparents.

On April 20, 1861, at age 23, D.D. Luke enlisted into the 9th Virginia Infantry. At various times, D.D. is in A and G Companies of the 9th Virginia Infantry. Records indicate D.D. missed roll calls in 1862 and was considered absent without leave (deserted). However, on April 9, 1862, D.D. received a special order, #81/31, from the Adjutant and Inspector General's Office, Confederate States of America. It was common during the Civil War for soldiers to switch or be recruited into new units, without the old, previous units, which they were in, being informed. This would result in the soldiers missing roll calls and being considered absent without leave. This was likely the case for Dale.

Confederate States of America army records at the National Archives have the Adjutant and Inspector General's Office Special Order #81/31. This was an order, issued on April 9, 1862, to Dale D. Luke, and about 25 other men, to report to Wm. N. Nash in Norfolk, to work on gunboats. It is possible that a gunboat worked on by Dale was the CSS Virginia, one of the first ironclads to be used for war purposes, designed by John Luke Porter (and others). Porter is believed to be Dale's cousin. Confederate records indicate that Dale D. Luke had distinguished service.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the 9th Virginia Infantry

The 9th Virginia Infantry primarily served in the Army of Northern Virginia, participating in major battles like Seven Pines, Malvern Hill, Second Manassas, Fredericksburg, and Gettysburg; they were known to be part of General Lewis A. Armistead's brigade and fought in various campaigns including the Petersburg siege and the Appomattox campaign, ultimately surrendering with significant losses at the war's end; the regiment was comprised of men from Virginia counties and towns like Portsmouth, Roanoke, Chesterfield, and Norfolk.

Formation and Origin: Organized in Portsmouth, Virginia, in July 1861, with soldiers primarily from the surrounding counties.

Commanders: Notable commanders included Colonels David J. Godwin, James J. Phillips, and Francis H. Smith.

Casualties: Suffered substantial losses throughout the war, with notable casualties at battles like Malvern Hill and Gettysburg.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Adjutant and Inspector General's Office of the Confederate States of America

The Adjutant and Inspector General's Office of the Confederate States of America during the Civil War was a key administrative branch within the Confederate War Department, primarily responsible for managing troop records, personnel details, and military inspections, with the notable feature that the roles of Adjutant General and Inspector General were often combined under a single officer, most prominently General Samuel Cooper throughout the war; this combined position was established early in the conflict due to the need for rapid mobilization and President Jefferson Davis's trust in Cooper's experience and leadership.

Combined roles: Unlike in the U.S. Army, where the Adjutant General and Inspector General were typically separate positions, the Confederate Army often combined these functions under one officer, allowing for streamlined military administration.

Samuel Cooper: General Samuel Cooper was the most prominent figure in this role throughout the Civil War, having previously served in the U.S. Army's Adjutant General's Office.

Responsibilities: The office oversaw tasks such as maintaining soldier records, managing troop movements, conducting inspections of units, and issuing orders related to personnel matters.

Post-war records: Following the Confederate surrender, many records from the Adjutant and Inspector General's Office were captured by the Union and are now housed in the National Archives as part of the "War Department Collection of Confederate Records".

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the CSS Virginia

The CSS Virginia, constructed at Norfolk Navy Yard in Portsmouth, Virginia during the Civil War, was built upon the salvaged hull of the partially burned and scuttled Union warship, the USS Merrimack, which the Confederates raised and converted into an ironclad after Union forces evacuated the Norfolk Navy Yard at the start of the war; essentially, the Virginia was created by taking the existing hull of the Merrimack and covering it with iron plating, allowing it to become a formidable ironclad warship, most famous for its battle against the USS Monitor at Hampton Roads in March 1862, marking the first clash between ironclad ships in naval history.

Granville Gratiot (G.G.) Luke (1833-?) Granville Gratiot (G.G.) Luke was the brother of my great grandparent Dale Delafield Luke (B: 1838 Portsmouth, Virginia; D: unknown)

G.G. Luke served in the Confederate Army. G.G. saw action at Fort Hatteras, Plymouth, New Berne, Drury's Bluff (wounded), Petersburg, and Five Forks. In 1862 he was promoted to LtCol and would become commander of the North Carolina 17th Regiment Infantry.

For a period, Granville Gratiot Luke was a prisoner of war at Fort Warren in Boston Harbor, Massachusetts.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the 17th North Carolina Infantry Regiment

The 17th North Carolina Infantry Regiment, part of the Confederate States Army during the American Civil War, was a unit primarily composed of men from counties like Pasquotank, Edgecombe, Hertford, Bertie, Currituck, and Beaufort, assembling in Plymouth, North Carolina in June 1861; they saw significant action in the Bermuda Hundred front, at Cold Harbor, and during the siege of Petersburg, eventually surrendering near Durham's Station, North Carolina in April 1865.

William F. Luke (?-?)   William F. Luke is a brother of my great grandparent Dale Delafield Luke (B:1838 Portsmouth, Virginia; D: unknown)

Like his brothers, Dale Delafield and Granville G, William was in the Confederate Army. A gravesite for William F. Luke is at Oak Grove Cemetery, along London Ave, close to old town Portsmouth, Virginia. The grave marker indicates that William F. was a Confederate veteran.

In the 1850s, a William F. Luke was a member of the Old Dominion Guards.

John Thomas Torian (1844-1920)   John Thomas Torian is a relative of my great grandparent George Torian (B:1854 Halifax County, Virginia; D: 1923 Brookneal, Campbell, Virginia)

John Thomas Torian mustered, as a private, into Capt. Samuel T Wright's Company, Virginia Heavy Artillery (Halifax, County Artillery), in March 1862 for a three-year enlistment. In the fall of 1864, he would spend about forty days at Richmond's Civil War Chimborazo Hospital. Why he was there was not been found.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Virginia Heavy Artillery

The "Halifax County, Virginia Heavy Artillery Battery," also known as "Young's Battery" or simply "Halifax Artillery," was a Confederate artillery unit primarily composed of men from Halifax County, Virginia, that fought during the American Civil War; they were initially formed as infantry but later transitioned to primarily serve as heavy artillery, notably defending key positions at Petersburg, Virginia, against Union forces throughout the war; eventually surrendering with the rest of the Confederate army in April 1865.

Formation and early service: The battery was organized in early 1861 under the command of Captain Edward R. Young and initially served as infantry with the 14th Virginia Infantry Regiment before transferring to the 1st Virginia Artillery Battalion in May 1862.

Heavy artillery role: Later in the war, the unit became classified as "heavy artillery," meaning they operated large caliber cannons used for defense against enemy assaults, particularly in fortified positions.

Notable engagements: The Halifax Artillery played a significant role in the defense of Petersburg, Virginia, particularly during the intense fighting against Union forces in June 1864, where they successfully repelled multiple Federal attacks.

Surrender: Following the fall of Petersburg, the battery surrendered alongside the rest of the Confederate army in April 1865.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about Chimborazo Hospital

Chimborazo Hospital was a major medical facility in Richmond, Virginia during the American Civil War that treated Confederate soldiers.

Establishment: Dr. Samuel P. Moore, the Surgeon General of the Confederacy, established Chimborazo Hospital in October 1861. The hospital was named after Chimborazo Hill, which is located on the eastern edge of Richmond.

Patients: The hospital treated over 76,000 injured Confederate soldiers between 1862 and 1865. The hospital's mortality rate was between 8.3 and 10.3 percent, which was among the lowest for military hospitals at the time.

Organization: The hospital was highly organized, with 90 wards, each containing 40 beds. Dr. James B. McCaw, the hospital's chief, divided the hospital into five divisions and appointed a lead surgeon-in-chief for each division.

After the war: After the war, the hospital became a refuge for freed slaves.

Location: The hospital was in what is now Richmond's Chimborazo Park.

Leonard B. Torian (1833-1862) Leonard B. Torian is a relative of my great grandparent George Torian (B:1854 Halifax County, Virginia D: 1923 Brookneal, Campbell, Virginia)

During the civil war, Leonard B. Torian served in the 3rd Virginia Cavalry and in Capt. William H. Easly's Company of Cavalry, the Black Walnut Dragoons. (Black Walnut is an area of Halifax County, Virginia.) Leonard entered service on June 30, 1861. A record indicates that in February 1862, he died of pneumonia. However, this record conflicts with the finding that there is a Leonard B. Torian in the 1870 Halifax Census. An explanation for this conflict has not been found.

The 3rd Virginia Cavalry, Company C, the Black Walnut Dragoons, appears in documentation as a "special" unit, having a reputation for showmanship and for attracting a lot of attention. In addition to Leonard B. Torian being in the Black Walnut Dragoons, other members with Halifax County ancestor last names are Albert A. Crawley and Richard A. Singleton.

The "Black Walnut Dragoons" were not a formally recognized military unit during the American Civil War, but the term refers to a group of Confederate cavalry soldiers from the Black Walnut Plantation in Clover, Halifax County Virginia, who were likely part of a local Virginia cavalry regiment, fighting primarily on horseback and thus could be considered "dragoons" due to their fighting style, which involved both mounted and dismounted combat; the name "Black Walnut" simply denotes their origin at the plantation with that name.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the 3rd Virginia Cavalry

The 3rd Virginia Cavalry was a Confederate cavalry regiment during the American Civil War, primarily composed of men from counties like Mecklenburg, Elizabeth City, New Kent, Halifax, and Dinwiddie, Virginia; they entered Confederate service on July 1, 1861, and participated in numerous battles throughout the war, including Williamsburg, Fredericksburg, Chancellorsville, Brandy Station, Gettysburg, and the Appomattox Campaign, often serving under F. Lee,

Wickham, and Munford's Brigade in the Army of Northern Virginia; notable commanders included Colonels Thomas F. Goode, Robert Johnston, and Thomas H. Owen.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the "Black Walnut Dragoons"

Origin: The name is most likely associated with the Black Walnut Plantation in Clover, Halifax County, Virginia, which was a large slave-holding property during the Civil War.

Military Role: These soldiers would have been part of a Confederate cavalry unit, belonging to a Virginia cavalry regiment, fighting both mounted and dismounted as typical dragoons.

No Official Designation: "Black Walnut Dragoons" was not an official unit name, but a term used locally to identify soldiers from the Black Walnut Plantation area who served in the cavalry.

The only Civil War battle fought in Halifax County, the Battle of Staunton River Bridge, took place on Black Walnut Plantation in Summer 1864.

h. World War II (1941-1945)

Richard Robertson (1900-1978) Richard Robertson is a descendent of my great grandparents:

> Richard W. Robertson (B:1831 Amelia County, Virginia; D:1918 Richmond City, Virginia)

> Mary A. Eubank (B:1825 Amherst County, Virginia; D:15 05 1916 Richmond City, Virginia)

> Dale Delafield Luke (B:1838 Portsmouth, Virginia; D: unknown)

> Martha F. Shepherd (B:1843 Portsmouth, Virginia; D:1916 Williamsburg, Virginia)

Richard Robertson was a merchant mariner from the 1920s to the 1960s.

In April 1945, Richard Robertson is a crew member on the SS M.M. Gukin, a US-owned Liberty Ship, transporting troops across the Atlantic during World War II. The SS M.M. Gukin participated in several Atlantic-crossing convoys. In April 1953, Richard is on the SS Southwestern Victory, a World War II Victory Ship, put into service by the US military during the Korean War for transport. Richard started serving on Liberty and Victory Ships as early as

January 1942; he served on at least 12 of them. Liberty and Victory Ship crew members were considered US Coast Guard sailors. In August 1945, Richard was given a US Coast Guard honorable discharge, a Victory Medal, and a Presidential Testimonial Letter.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Liberty and Victory Ships

Liberty and Victory ships were mass-produced cargo vessels built in the United States during World War II, playing a crucial role in supplying Allied forces across the Atlantic and Pacific by transporting troops, equipment, and supplies, essentially acting as the "lifeline" of the war effort; while Liberty ships were initially built in large numbers due to their simple design and fast production, Victory ships were later developed to be faster and more efficient, with both classes seeing further service during the Korean War, transporting supplies and troops to the Korean peninsula.

Design and Production: Liberty ships were designed by the British and were built on a massive scale in American shipyards, utilizing standardized parts for quick production, while Victory ships were a later design with improved speed and capabilities compared to Liberty ships.

Impact on WW II: The sheer number of Liberty ships produced allowed the Allies to maintain a constant flow of supplies to combat zones, despite heavy losses from enemy attacks. Victory ships, introduced later in the war, provided a faster and more reliable means of transport.

Post-War Service: After World War II, both Liberty and Victory ships were used to support the Marshall Plan in Europe, and later saw service during the Korean War, where they were utilized to transport troops and supplies to the Korean peninsula.

Significance: These ships symbolized the immense industrial capacity of the United States during World War II and the ability to rapidly produce large quantities of vital war materials.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Merchant Marine

The United States Merchant Marine has a long history, dating back to the Revolutionary War, and has been a vital part of the country's maritime industry and national defense.

Revolutionary War: The Continental Congress authorized privateers to attack British ships and cut off supply lines. Over 11,000 merchant mariners were killed in the war.

War of 1812: Privateers were called upon to intervene in the war.

American Civil War: Confederate commerce raiders were active.

World War I: The need for administering the merchant marine during wartime was demonstrated.

World War II: The U.S. Merchant Marine served in the war, transporting troops, materiel, and supplies. 8,651 merchant mariners died in the war, which was the highest rate of casualties of any service.

Merchant Marine Academy: The U.S. Merchant Marine Academy was established in 1943 to provide high quality maritime training. The academy is located in Kings Point, New York.

U.S. Coast Guard: The Coast Guard oversees Merchant Marine personnel.

The U.S. Merchant Marine is known as "The Fourth Arm of National Defense". The majority of merchant mariners work in the private sector, but they are committed to serving the country when needed.

Frank L. Stokes (1921-1944)   Frank L. Stokes is a descendant of my great grandparents:

> Richard W. Robertson (B:1831 Amelia County, Virginia; D:1918 Richmond City, Virginia)

> Mary A. Eubank (B:1825 Amherst County, Virginia; D:1916 Richmond City, Virginia)

> Dale Delafield Luke (B:1838 Portsmouth, Virginia; D: unknown)

> Martha F. Shepherd (B:1843 Portsmouth, Virginia; D:1916 Williamsburg, Virginia)

Frank L. Stokes was a private in the 3rd Tank Battalion, 10th Armored Division when he died in combat on November 23, 1944. He was awarded the Purple Heart. The 10th Armored Division was under General George Patton's Third Army. The division arrived at Cherbourg, France on September 23, 1944, and entered combat on November 2, 1944, in the battle that captured Metz, France near the German border in north France. The division went on from there to lead General Patton's Third Army into Germany on November 19, 1944, just 4 days before Frank was killed in combat.

A World War II Memorial Plaque, erected in 1946, is located at Huntington Park, 9285 Warwick Boulevard, Newport News, Virginia, lists Frank L. Stokes.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Battle of Metz and the Third Army Advance into Germany

The Battle of Metz, fought in 1944 during World War II, was a crucial engagement where American forces under General Patton captured the heavily fortified city of Metz, France, near the German border, allowing the Allies to push further into Germany by breaching a key

defensive line and paving the way for their eventual advance towards the Siegfried Line; however, the battle was extremely costly due to the intense German resistance and complex fortress system surrounding Metz, making it a protracted and bloody affair.

Strategic Importance: Metz was strategically located near the German border and served as a major defensive hub for the Germans, with a network of underground forts and fortifications making it extremely difficult to capture.

American Assault: The main attacking force was the U.S. Third Army under General Patton, who faced fierce resistance from the German First Army.

High Casualties: The battle resulted in significant losses for both sides, with American troops enduring weeks of heavy fighting against entrenched German positions.

Impact on the War: Although the capture of Metz was a significant victory, it slowed down the Allied advance due to the prolonged fighting, allowing the Germans to organize their defenses further east.

General Patton's Third Army advance into Germany on November 19, 1944, marked a significant moment in World War II as it represented a major breakthrough following the Allied breakout from Normandy, allowing Patton's forces to rapidly push deep into German territory, eventually culminating in the liberation of large swaths of the country and paving the way for the eventual defeat of Nazi Germany; this aggressive offensive was characterized by Patton's renowned leadership style, focusing on rapid movement and decisive attacks, often outmaneuvering the German defenders. The rapid advance of the Third Army served as a major morale boost for the Allied forces and instilled fear in the German population.

Melvin C. Torian (1903-1984)   Melvin C. Torian is a descendant of my great grandparents:

> Charles Augustus Jenkins (B:1850 Yazoo County, Mississippi; D:1927 Clayton, Johnston, North Carolina) and of my great grandparent

> Lillie Shepherd Cocke (B:1854 Albemarle County, Virginia; D:1922 Clayton, Johnston, North Carolina)

> George Torian (B:1854 Halifax County, Virginia; D:1923 Brookneal, Campbell, Virginia)

> Amelia Blanche Crawley (B:30 Jun 1859 Halifax County, Virginia; D:1937 Brookneal, Campbell, Virginia)

Capt. Melvin Torian, US Navy, served aboard several ships in the Atlantic and Pacific during the Second World War.

Capt. Torian receive the following medals for his World War II service:

World War II Victory Medal American Defense Medal & Fleet Clasp
National Defense Service Medal
American Theater Medal
European - Africa - Middle East Theater Medal
Asiatic - Pacific Theater Medal
Philippines Campaign Medal
Eastern Campaign Medal

Capt. Torian was aboard ships that participated in the invasion of North Africa, the liberation of the Philippines, and the invasion of Okinawa.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the United States Military Invasion of North Africa

The United States military invasion of North Africa in World War II, known as "Operation Torch," was a crucial Allied campaign that marked America's first major combat engagement in Europe, aiming to secure a foothold in North Africa by invading French Morocco and Algeria in November 1942, ultimately leading to the defeat of Axis forces in the region and paving the way for further operations in Europe, including the invasion of Sicily and Italy; it was considered vital for relieving pressure on the Eastern Front by drawing Axis troops away from the Soviet Union and opening a "second front" against Germany.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Liberation of the Philippines

The liberation of the Philippines in World War II was a crucial campaign that saw American forces, alongside Filipino guerillas, reclaim the Philippines from Japanese occupation, marking a significant strategic victory in the Pacific theater and paving the way for Japan's eventual surrender, while also serving as a key step towards the Philippines' eventual independence from the U.S. following the war; this liberation involved brutal battles, heavy casualties, and a large-scale Filipino resistance against Japanese forces throughout the occupation period. The liberation campaign included major battles like the Battle of Leyte Gulf, the Battle of Luzon, and the Battle of Manila, which were marked by intense fighting and high casualties.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Invasion of Okinawa

The United States invasion of Okinawa, also known as the Battle of Okinawa, was a pivotal moment in World War II, marking the last major battle in the Pacific theater and a crucial step towards the eventual defeat of Japan; it involved a large-scale amphibious assault on the island of Okinawa, providing the US with a strategic base to launch air strikes against the Japanese mainland and paving the way for a potential ground invasion of Japan, ultimately contributing to the Japanese surrender due to the high casualties sustained by both sides during the battle.

The battle took place from April 1 to June 22, 1945. The invasion involved a massive fleet of US ships and troops landing on the shores of Okinawa. Japanese pilots conducted numerous suicide attacks against the US fleet, inflicting considerable damage and casualties.

i. Korean War (1950-1953)

Richard Robertson (1900-1978) Richard Robertson is a descendant of my great grandparents:

> Richard W. Robertson (B:1831 Amelia County, Virginia; D:1918 Richmond City, Virginia)
>
> Mary A. Eubank (B:1825 Amherst County, Virginia; D:1916 Richmond City, Virginia)
>
> Dale Delafield Luke (B:1838 Portsmouth, Virginia; D: unknown)
>
> Martha F. Shepherd (B:1843 Portsmouth, Virginia; D:1916 Williamsburg, Virginia)

In April 1953, Richard Robertson is on the SS Southwestern Victory, a World War II Victory Ship, put into service by the US military during the Korean War for transport. Victory Ship crew members were considered US Coast Guard sailors.

William Beale Stokes, Jr. (1919-1988) William Beale Stokes, Jr. is a descendant of my great grandparents:

> Richard W. Robertson (B:1831 Amelia County, Virginia; D:1918 Richmond City, Virginia)
>
> Mary A. Eubank (B:1825 Amherst County, Virginia; D:1916 Richmond City, Virginia)
>
> Dale Delafield Luke B: (1838 Portsmouth, Virginia; D: unknown)

Martha F. Shepherd (B:1843 Portsmouth, Virginia; D:1916 Williamsburg, Virginia)

William Beale Stokes, Jr. Served in the US Navy during the Korean War. For a time, he was on the Battleship New Jersey.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Korean War

The Korean War, fought between 1950 and 1953, was a pivotal conflict during the Cold War where North Korea, backed by the Soviet Union and China, invaded South Korea, leading to a major military intervention by the United States and United Nations forces to defend the South, ultimately resulting in a divided Korea with no official peace treaty signed and a lasting tension between the two sides; it is considered a significant event as it marked one of the first major Cold War confrontations, highlighting the ideological divide between communism and democracy, and solidifying the US military presence in Asia.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Battleship New Jersey

The Battleship New Jersey, formally known as the USS New Jersey (BB-62), is considered one of the most decorated battleships in U.S. Navy history, renowned for its extensive service across multiple wars including World War II, the Korean War, the Vietnam War, and the Lebanese Civil War, making it the only battleship to serve in all three major post-WWII conflicts; it is particularly notable for its long active service period and the number of combat campaigns it participated in, earning a significant number of battle stars throughout its career.

Active service: Commissioned in 1943, the New Jersey saw extensive combat in the Pacific theater during World War II, participating in major battles like the Battle of Philippine Sea, Battle of Leyte Gulf, and supporting the invasions of Iwo Jima and Okinawa.

Korean War and Vietnam War: Following a post-war decommissioning, the New Jersey was reactivated for the Korean War and later again for the Vietnam War, providing crucial naval gunfire support in both conflicts.

Flagship role: During World War II, the New Jersey served as the flagship for Admiral William "Bull" Halsey's Third Fleet.

Museum ship: After its final decommissioning in 1991, the Battleship New Jersey became a museum ship currently docked in Camden, New Jersey, where visitors can experience its rich history firsthand.

III.   Ancestor Government Service

a. Introduction The purpose of this section is to identify ancestors who worked in government service. The ancestors include my great grandparents: Richard W. Robertson; Mary A. Eubank; Dale Delafield Luke; Martha F. Shepherd; George Torian; Amelia Blanche Crawley; Charles Augustus Jenkins; and Lillie Shepherd Cocke. The ancestors also include the ancestors of these eight great grandparents going back to the 1600s.

This section also provides information on these ancestors relevant to their government service. This information is based on research I have done on these ancestors.

And the Section includes the results of several artificial intelligence searches (Google AI and ChatGPT) providing information related to each government service position.

The following table provides the ancestors names who provided government service, the position held, and the page with information on the ancestor.

Last Name	First Name	Birth Date	Death Date	Location of Service	Page
Admiralty Court Judge					63
Hill	Edward II	1637	1700	James River, Virginia	
Board of Police					64
Jenkins	MA	1810	1870	Yazoo County, Mississippi	
Burgesses					67
Wormeley I	Ralph	1618	1651	Jamestown, Virginia	
Mottrom	John	1610	1655	Jamestown, Virginia	
Martiau	Nicholas	1591	1657	Jamestown, Virginia	
Broadhurst	Walter	1618	1658	Jamestown, Virginia	
Hill I	Edward	?	1663	Jamestown, Virginia	
Carter	John	1613	1669	Jamestown, Virginia	
Reade	Geroge	1608	1674	Jamestown, Virginia	

Warner I	Augustine	1610	1674	Jamestown, Virginia	
Bennett	Richard	1609	1675	Jamestown, Virginia	
Washington	John	1631	1677	Jamestown, Virginia	
Washington	John	1631	1677	Jamestown, Virginia	
Ball	William	1615	1680	Jamestown, Virginia	
Ball III	William	1615	1680	Jamestown, Virginia	
Warner II	Augustine	1642	1681	Jamestown, Virginia	
Lewis II	John	1633	1689	Jamestown, Virginia	
Kenner	Richard	1635	1692	Jamestown, Virginia	
Kenner II	Richard	1635	1692	Jamestown, Virginia	
Armistead	John	1630	1695	Jamestown, Virginia	
Cocke	Thomas	1638	1696	Jamestown, Virginia	
Washington	Laurence	1659	1698	Jamestown, Virginia	
Hill II	Edward	1637	1700	Jamestown, Virginia	
Fox	David	1650	1702	Jamestown, Virginia	
Wormeley II	Ralph	1650	1703	Jamestown, Virginia	
Ring	Joseph	1646	1703	Jamestown, Virginia	
Matthew	Thomas	1630	1705	Jamestown, Virginia	
Kenner	Rodham	1671	1706	Jamestown, Virginia	
Kenner I	Rodham	1671	1706	Jamestown, Virginia	
Ransone	James	1642	1710	Jamestown, Virginia	

Ransone	James	1642	1710	Jamestown, Virginia	
Ball	Joseph	1649	1711	Jamestown, Virginia	
Lewis III	John	1669	1725	Williamsburg, Virginia	
Hill III	Edward	1665	1726	Williamsburg, Virginia	
Carter	Robert	1663	1732	Williamsburg, Virginia	
Thornton II	Francis Marion	1682	1737	Williamsburg, Virginia	
Lewis IV	John	1694	1754	Williamsburg, Virginia	
Lewis	Fielding	1725	1781	Williamsburg, Virginia	
Greenhill	David	1725	1781	Williamsburg, Virginia	
Lewis	Fielding	1725	1781	Williamsburg, Virginia	
Wormeley IV	Ralph	1715	1790	Williamsburg, Virginia	
Carter	Edward	1726	1792	Williamsburg, Virginia	
Colony Secretary					70
Reade	George	1608	1674	Jamestown, Virginia	
Wormeley II	Ralph	1650	1703	Jamestown, Virginia	
Carter III	John	1689	1742	Williamsburg, Virginia	
Commissioner					71
Pope	Nathaniel	1603	1660	Westmoreland County, Virginia	
Washington	John	1631	1677	Westmoreland County, Virginia	
Stark	Robert	1740	1806	Ninety-Six District, South Carolina	
Young	Matthew Hubbard	1785	1849	Halifax County, Virginia	

Jenkins	Edmund	1784	1850	Tallahatchie County, Mississippi	
Jenkins	MA	1810	1870	Yazoo County, Mississippi	
Coroner					75
Washington	John	1631	1677	Westmoreland County, Virginia	
Fox	David	1650	1702	Lancaster County, Virginia	
Foushee	John	1697	1773	Richmond County, Virginia	
Council - Virginia Colony					76
Utie	John	1593	1637	Jamestown, Virginia	
Wormeley I	Ralph	1615	1650	Jamestown, Virginia	
Wormeley	Christopher	1590	1651	Jamestown, Virginia	
Martiau	Nicholas	1591	1657	Jamestown, Virginia	
Hill I	Edward	?	1663	Jamestown, Virginia	
Carter I	John	1613	1669	Jamestown, Virginia	
Reade	George	1608	1674	Jamestown, Virginia	
Warner I	Augustine	1610	1674	Jamestown, Virginia	
Bennett	Richard	1609	1675	Jamestown, Virginia	
Washington	John	1631	1677	Jamestown, Virginia	
Ball	William	1615	1680	Jamestown, Virginia	
Warner II	Augustine	1642	1681	Jamestown, Virginia	
Lewis II	John	1633	1689	Jamestown, Virginia	
Armstead	John	1630	1695	Jamestown, Virginia	

Hill II	Edward	1637	1700	Jamestown, Virginia	
Fox	David	1650	1702	Jamestown, Virginia	
Wormeley II	Ralph	1650	1703	Jamestown, Virginia	
Ring	Joseph	1646	1703	Jamestown, Virginia	
Ball	Joseph	1649	1711	Jamestown, Virginia	
Lewis Ill	John	1669	1754	Jamestown, Virginia	
Carter	Robert	1663	1732	Williamsburg, Virginia	
Carter III	John	1689	1742	Williamsburg, Virginia	
Court Clerk					78
Stank	Robert	1740	1806	Mississippi Territory	
Jenkins	MA	1810	1870	Yazoo County, Mississippi	
Governor - Deputy Virginia Colony					79
Carter	Robert	1663	1732	Williamsburg, Virginia	
Governor - Virginia Colony					79
Reade	George	1608	1674	Jamestown, Virginia	
Bennett	Richard	1609	1675	Jamestown, Virginia	
Carter	Robert	1663	1732	Williamsburg, Virginia	
Justice					80
Martiau	Nicholas	1591	1657	York County, Virginia	
Carter II	John	1613	1669	Lancaster County, Virginia	
Warner I	Augustine	1610	1674	Gloucester County, Virginia	
Bennett	Richard	1609	1675	Nansemond County, Virginia	
Spilman	Clement	1620	1677	Westmoreland County, Virginia	

Ball	William	1615	1680	Lancaster County, Virginia	
Kenner	Richard	1635	1692	Northumberland County, Virginia	
Fox	David	1650	1702	Lancaster County, Virginia	
Matthew	Thomas	1630	1705	Northumberland County, Virginia	
Ball	Joesph	1649	1711	Lancaster County, Virginia	
Claughton	John	1659	1726	Northumberland County, Virginia	
Cralle II	John	1674	1728	Northumberland County, Virginia	
Washington	Augustine	1694	1743	Westmoreland County, Virginia	
Foushee	John	1697	1773	Richmond County, Virginia	
Cralle III	John	1724	1778	Northumberland County, Virginia	
Veale	Thomas	1725	1793	Portsmouth, Virginia	
Buckholtz	Abraham	1729	1812	Georgetown District, South Carolina	
Stark	Theodore	1770	1843	Mississippi Territory	
Jenkins	Edmond	1784	1850	Tallahatchie County, Mississippi	
Jenkins	MA	1810	1870	Yazoo County, Mississippi	
Cocke	John Shepherd	1798	1877	Albemarle County, Virginia	
Light House Operator					84
Luke	Paul Dale	1761	1819	Norfolk, Virginia	
Luke IV	John	1793	1866	Portsmouth, Virginia	
Magistrate					86
Pope	Nathaniel	1603	1660	Westmoreland County, Virginia	

Thomas	Matthew	1630	1705	Northumberland County, Virginia	
Wingfield II	Charles	1752	1819	Albemarle County, Virginia	
Cocke	John Shepherd	1798	1877	Albemarle County, Virginia	
Mayor					88
Stark Jr.	Robert	1767	1830	South Carolina	
Torian	John Thomas	1844	1920	Virgilina, Virginia	
Postmaster					89
Cocke	Samuel	1771	1844	Goochland County, Virginia	
Jenkins	MA	1810	1870	Yazoo County, Mississippi	
Cocke	John Shepherd	1778	1877	Albemarle County, Virginia	
Revolutionary War Committee					91
Cralle III	John	1724	1778	Northumberland County, Virginia	
Road Overseer					94
Cralle I	John	1645	1728	Northumberland County, Virginia	
Eubank II	Thomas	1648	1732	Talbot County, Maryland	
Foushee	John	1697	1773	Richmond County, Virginia	
Cralle III	John	1724	1778	Northumberland County, Virginia	
Secretary of State					95
Stark Jr.	Robert	1767	1830	South Carolina	
Sheriff					96
Armstead	John	1630	1695	Gloucester County, Virginia	
Hill II	Edward	1637	1700	Charles City County, Virginia	
Fox	David	1650	1702	Lancaster County, Virginia	
Luke II	John	1649	1709	Northampton County, Virginia	

Washington	Augustine	1694	1743	Westmoreland County, Virginia	
Hudson	Charles	1691	1748	Hanover County, Virginia	
Champe, Jr.	John	1698	1763	King George County, Virginia	
Greenhill	David	1700	1772	Amelia County, Virginia	
Foushee	John	1697	1773	Richmond County, Virginia	
Claughton	Richard	1696	1773	Northumberland County, Virginia	
Wingfield II	Charles	1752	1819	Albemarle County, Virginia	
Stark	Theodore	1770	1843	South Carolina	
Jenkins	Edmund	1784	1850	Liberty, Mississippi	
Jenkins	MA	1810	1870	Yazoo City, Mississippi	
Solicitor					98
Stark, Jr	Robert	1767	1830	South Carolina	
State Legislature					99
Stark	Alexander Bolling	1764	1822	South Carolina	
Stark Jr.	Robert	1767	1830	South Carolina	
Stark	Theodore	1770	1843	Mississippi Territory	
Jenkins	Edmond	1784	1850	Tallahatchie County, Mississippi	
Jenkins	MA	1810	1870	Mississippi	
Surveyor					103
Cralle I	John	1665	1728	Northumberland County, Virginia	
Hicks	Robert	1658	1739	Brunswick County, Virginia	
Ransone	Richard	1700	1748	Brunswick County, Virginia	
Wright	Thomas	?	1767	Amelia County, Virginia	
Young	Michael Cadet	1700	1770	Brunswick County, Virginia	

Cralle III	John	1724	1778	Northumberland County, Virginia	
Atkinson	William S.	1740	1801	Halifax County, Virginia	
Stark	Alexander Bolling	1764	1822	South Carolina	
Stark	Theodore	1770	1843	South Carolina	
Trustee					107
Fox	David	1647	1699	Queenstown, Lancaster County, Virginia	
Ring	Joseph	1646	1703	Yorktown, Virginia	
Carter	Robert	1663	1732	Queenstown, Lancaster County, Virginia	
Champe Jr.	John	1698	1763	Dumfries, Virginia	
Vigilance Committee					109
Eubank II	George	1764	1827	Albemarle County, Virginia	
Young	Matthew	1785	1849	Halifax County, Virginia	
Eubank III	George	1796	1851	Albemarle County, Virginia	
Torian	Thomas	1773	1862	Halifax County, Virginia	
Virginia Convention					111
Cralle III	John	1724	1778	Williamsburg, Virginia	

b. Admiralty Court Judge

Admiralty Court Judge					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Hill	Edward II	1637	1700	James River, Virginia	CAJ

Edward Hill II, a colonel in the militia, was a judge on the Admiralty Court.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Admiralty Court Judge System

In the 1600s and 1700s, the Admiralty Court Judge system primarily dealt with maritime disputes, operating under the authority of the British Crown and presiding over cases related to shipping, piracy, trade disputes, and prize captures during wartime; these courts were often established in major port cities and were characterized by a unique legal system drawing from both English common law and Roman civil law, with judges appointed by the Crown and often facing criticism for their lack of jury trials and perceived bias towards the British government, particularly in colonial territories where "Vice-Admiralty Courts" were established to enforce navigation acts and customs regulations.

Jurisdiction: Primarily handled maritime disputes like ship collisions, salvage operations, disputes over cargo, piracy cases, and prize cases (captured enemy ships during war).

Structure: High Court of Admiralty: The central court in England, overseeing all Admiralty matters.

Vice-Admiralty Courts: Established in British colonies, with judges appointed by the colonial governor, often generating controversy due to their perceived lack of local representation and potential for bias.

Legal Procedures:

> Mixed legal system: Combined elements of English common law with Roman civil law principles, particularly when dealing with international maritime disputes.

> No juries: Admiralty courts typically did not use juries, which further contributed to criticism of the system.

Lack of local representation: In colonies, the appointment of judges by the Crown led to concerns about fairness and impartiality.

Enforcement of Navigation Acts: The courts were often seen as instruments to enforce strict trade regulations, leading to conflicts with colonial merchants.

Navigation Acts: These acts, enacted by the British Parliament, gave significant power to Admiralty courts to regulate colonial trade and punish smuggling.

American Revolution: The resentment towards the British Admiralty courts in the colonies contributed to the movement for independence, as colonists felt they were unfairly targeted by the system.

c. Board of Police

Board of Police					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Jenkins	MA	1810	1870	Yazoo County, Mississippi	CAJ

MA Jenkins was a member of the Yazoo County Board of Police by virtue of being the County's sheriff.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Board of Police

A "Board of Police," often called a "Board of Police Commissioners," has been primarily responsible for overseeing the operations of a police department, including setting policies, managing budgets, reviewing complaints against officers, and holding police personnel accountable for their actions, potentially including disciplinary measures like suspension or termination when necessary. The specific powers and responsibilities of a Board of Police varied depending on local laws and regulations.

ChatGPT Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about Unruly and Unlawful Behavior in Mississippi in the 1800s

The history of Mississippi in the 1800s is marked by significant unrest, disruptive behavior, and instances of unlawful activities, much of which was shaped by the state's economic, social, and

political dynamics. Below are some key factors and examples of unruly, disruptive, and unlawful behavior in the state during this period:

1. Slavery and Resistance

- **Enslaved People's Resistance**: Mississippi's plantation economy depended heavily on enslaved labor, and many enslaved individuals resisted through acts such as work slowdowns, sabotage, escape attempts, and uprisings. While large-scale revolts were rare, the threat of rebellion created constant tension.
- **Natchez Revolt (1795–1800)**: Although technically just before the 1800s, the Natchez District experienced disruptions as Native Americans, enslaved individuals, and settlers clashed, setting a precedent for continued unrest in the region.

2. Frontier Lawlessness

- Mississippi was part of the American frontier in the early 19th century, which meant the state often lacked formal law enforcement, leading to widespread lawlessness.
- **Dueling and Feuds**: Personal disputes among politicians, planters, and settlers frequently escalated into violent duels or feuds. For example, Andrew Jackson, who lived in the region for a time, was known for his participation in duels.

3. The Mississippi Banditti

- The Natchez Trace, a major trade route, was infamous for its lawlessness in the early 19th century. Bandits, including the notorious Harpe Brothers, preyed on travelers, robbing and sometimes murdering them.
- **Samuel Mason**, a former Revolutionary War soldier who turned criminal, led one of the most infamous groups of highwaymen along the Trace.

4. Racial Violence and White Supremacy

- Enslaved individuals faced brutal punishment for perceived insubordination, and free Black people faced constant legal and social oppression.
- After emancipation in 1865, the period leading into Reconstruction (which began in 1867) saw the rise of white supremacist groups like the Ku Klux Klan, which used violence and intimidation to suppress Black political and social progress.

5. Political and Civil Unrest

- **Nullification and States' Rights Movements**: In the decades leading up to the Civil War, Mississippi was a hotbed of pro-slavery and secessionist rhetoric, leading to political tensions and occasional violence between pro-slavery and anti-slavery factions.
- **Secession and Civil War (1861-1865)**: Mississippi's secession from the Union in 1861 was driven by the defense of slavery, and the subsequent war devastated the state, leading to widespread social and economic disruption.

6. Economic Disruptions and Criminality

- **Cotton Economy Boom and Bust**: The reliance on cotton as the economic backbone created sharp economic disparities. Economic downturns often led to desperation and crime.
- **Speculation and Corruption**: Speculative ventures in land and cotton frequently led to fraudulent schemes and disputes.

7. Native American Displacement

- The 1830s saw the forced removal of the Choctaw and Chickasaw peoples under the Indian Removal Act. This process, fraught with coercion and violence, led to resistance from Native American groups.

8. Urban and Rural Tensions

- Mississippi's small towns and burgeoning cities saw frequent disputes between rural elites (plantation owners) and urban working-class populations, often over political and economic issues.

Legacy

The 1800s in Mississippi left a legacy of systemic inequities, cultural tensions, and patterns of violence that would shape its history well into the 20th century. Understanding these dynamics provides a lens to examine the deep challenges the state faced in achieving social stability and justice.

d. Burgesses

House of Burgesses					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Wormeley I	Ralph	1618	1651	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Mottrom	John	1610	1655	Jamestown, Virginia	ABC
Martiau	Nicholas	1591	1657	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Broadhurst	Walter	1618	1658	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Hill I	Edward	?	1663	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Carter	John	1613	1669	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Reade	Geroge	1608	1674	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Warner I	Augustine	1610	1674	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Bennett	Richard	1609	1675	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Washington	John	1631	1677	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Washington	John	1631	1677	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Ball	William	1615	1680	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Ball III	William	1615	1680	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Warner II	Augustine	1642	1681	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Lewis II	John	1633	1689	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ

Kenner	Richard	1635	1692	Jamestown, Virginia	ABC
Kenner II	Richard	1635	1692	Jamestown, Virginia	ABC
Armistead	John	1630	1695	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Cocke	Thomas	1638	1696	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Washington	Laurence	1659	1698	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Hill II	Edward	1637	1700	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Fox	David	1650	1702	Jamestown, Virginia	ABC
Wormeley II	Ralph	1650	1703	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Ring	Joseph	1646	1703	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Matthew	Thomas	1630	1705	Jamestown, Virginia	ABC
Kenner	Rodham	1671	1706	Jamestown, Virginia	ABC
Kenner I	Rodham	1671	1706	Jamestown, Virginia	ABC
Ransone	James	1642	1710	Jamestown, Virginia	GT
Ransone	James	1642	1710	Jamestown, Virginia	GT
Ball	Joseph	1649	1711	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Lewis III	John	1669	1725	Williamsburg, Virginia	CAJ
Hill III	Edward	1665	1726	Williamsburg, Virginia	CAJ
Carter	Robert	1663	1732	Williamsburg, Virginia	CAJ
Thornton II	Francis Marion	1682	1737	Williamsburg, Virginia	CAJ

Lewis IV	John	1694	1754	Williamsburg, Virginia	CAJ
Greenhill	David	1700	1772	Williamsburg, Virginia	RWR
Lewis	Fielding	1725	1781	Williamsburg, Virginia	CAJ
Wormeley IV	Ralph	1715	1790	Williamsburg, Virginia	CAJ
Carter	Edward	1726	1792	Williamsburg, Virginia	CAJ

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Virginia House of Burgesses

The Virginia House of Burgesses was the first legislative assembly in British North America, established in 1619 at Jamestown, Virginia, where it initially met in the church building; it served as the lawmaking body for the Virginia colony for nearly 150 years, with notable accomplishments including setting local laws, taxation, and playing a significant role in the American Revolution by producing prominent Founding Fathers like George Washington, Thomas Jefferson, and Patrick Henry; meetings later moved to Williamsburg, the new capital of Virginia, after 1700.

Establishment: The House of Burgesses was established by Governor George Yeardley in 1619, following the Virginia Company's decision to create a legislative assembly.

Meeting location: The first meetings were held in the church at Jamestown, Virginia. After 1700, the assembly moved to Williamsburg, the new colonial capital.

Composition: The House of Burgesses consisted of elected "burgesses" representing different counties within the colony, who would meet with the governor and his council to make laws.

Significance: The House of Burgesses is considered a significant step towards representative government in the American colonies, as it allowed colonists to have a voice in their governance.

Notable members: Many influential figures in the American Revolution, including George Washington, Thomas Jefferson, and Patrick Henry, served as members of the House of Burgesses.

Dissolution: The House of Burgesses was disbanded in 1774 after members voiced support for the Boston Tea Party, leading to the formation of the Virginia General Assembly which replaced the House of Burgesses.

Members of the House of Burgesses were elected by county landowners and had the function of legislating for the Virginia Colony. In the 1750s in Northumberland County, there were approximately 400 voters out of a population believed to be about 6,000. This proportion of voters to population size was fairly similar from county to county.

The House of Burgesses was one of two bodies, the other being the Governor's Council, making up the Virginia Colony General Assembly. The House of Burgesses was transformed into the House of Delegates after independence from England in 1776 and continues today as a Virginia legislative body.

With the establishment of the House of Burgesses, Virginia Colony residents gained the ability to vote and send representatives to the House of Burgesses, an important milestone in the development of American democracy.

Statistics related to Virginia's House of Burgesses include: the initial number of burgesses being 22, with each county sending two representatives to the assembly, making it the first elected legislative body in the American colonies; the requirement for only white male landowners to vote for burgesses; and the assembly initially meeting in Jamestown in 1619, with the body later evolving into a bicameral legislature with the House of Burgesses as the lower house.

e. Colony Secretary

Colony Secretary					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Reade	George	1608	1674	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Wormeley II	Ralph	1650	1703	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Carter III	John	1689	1742	Williamsburg, Virginia	CAJ

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Colony Secretary

In the American colonies, a colony secretary's primary duty was to manage official correspondence, record keeping, and administrative tasks for the colonial government, including communicating with the British government, maintaining vital documents, and often acting as a key advisor to the colonial governor on various matters related to the colony's administration and governance. While the secretary held a significant role in colonial administration, their power was derived from the authority of the British

The specific duties of a colonial secretary could vary based on the size and complexity of the colony, with larger colonies potentially having a more extensive administrative structure.

f. Commissioner

Commissioner					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Pope	Nathaniel	1603	1660	Westmoreland County, Virginia	CAJ
Washington	John	1631	1677	Westmoreland County, Virginia	CAJ
Stark	Robert	1740	1806	Ninety-Six District, South Carolina	CAJ
Young	Matthew Hubbard	1785	1849	Halifax County, Virginia	ABC
Jenkins	Edmund	1784	1850	Tallahatchie County, Mississippi	ABC
Jenkins	MA	1810	1870	Yazoo County, Mississippi	CAJ

In 1753, Robert Stark was appointed to a commission established to collect taxes to pay for keeping South Carolina's Wateree River navigational.

In 1807, President Thomas Jefferson appointed a Richard Cocke, a descendant of ancestor Richard Cocke (born 1600, Shropshire, England; died 1665, Henrico County, Virginia Colony) to a Board of Commissioners who had responsibility for determining land rights in the western district of the Orleans Territory.

In 1820, Edmund Jenkins was appointed to an Amite County, Mississippi commission established to divide parcels of land appropriately. In 1826, he was appointed to another commission responsible for auditing claims against deceased persons. In 1840, in Tallahatchie County, Mississippi (by 1840, Jenkins relocated from Amite County to Tallahatchie County), Jenkins was appointed yet to another commission responsible for building a turnpike. These commission appointments for Edmund are a good demonstration of how communities used commissions for governmental purposes. (The ChatGPT Artificial Intelligence output shown below explains this in more detail.)

In 1854, MA Jenkins was appointed to a Yazoo County commission established to sell property on the county's behalf.

ChatGPT Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Use of Commissions by the United States Government

The use of commissions by the United States government has a long and varied history. In general, a commission refers to a formal body or group of individuals appointed to conduct specific functions, often with specialized expertise or authority, and typically created by law, executive order, or other legal instruments. Commissions have been used for a wide range of purposes, from investigating specific issues, administering government functions, to overseeing the implementation of laws or policies. Here is a broad overview of the history and development of commissions in the U.S. government:

1. Early Use of Commissions (Pre-Revolutionary and Revolutionary Period)

- Before the formation of the U.S., commissions were already in use in colonial governments. For example, commissions were issued by the British monarchy to governors or military officers, granting them authority over specific issues, including trade regulation or military command.
- During the Revolutionary War, commissions played a significant role. For example, the Continental Congress created commissions to manage matters like negotiating with foreign powers, dealing with military affairs, and overseeing finances.

2. Post-Revolutionary War (Early Republic Era)

- After the formation of the United States, commissions continued to be a tool used by both the legislative and executive branches of the government. These commissions were often created to address specific problems or crises that could not be managed by standing institutions.
- A notable early example is the Federalist Commissions created under President George Washington and his successors. These included bodies such as the U.S. Supreme Court (which initially had a limited number of justices and was considered a kind of "commission" for deciding certain important legal issues), as well as commissions to deal with Native American treaties, military issues, and trade disputes.

3. 19th Century: Expansion and Institutionalization

- In the 19th century, the use of commissions expanded significantly in response to the growing complexity of the country and its government. Commissions began to be used for both investigative and administrative purposes.
- Investigative Commissions: One of the most famous early commissions was the Dred Scott Commission (1857), which examined the legal status of enslaved people and the territories where slavery was legal.
- Building Infrastructure and Settling the West: The government used commissions to oversee major projects, such as the construction of the Transcontinental Railroad. Various land grant commissions were established to manage the distribution of land to railroads, veterans, and settlers.
- Civil War and Reconstruction: During and after the Civil War, commissions played an important role in addressing the aftermath of the conflict. For example, the Freedmen's Bureau was created to address the needs of formerly enslaved people, and various military commissions were used to manage matters of national security and military tribunals.

4. Progressive Era (Early 20th Century)

- The Progressive Era (1890s–1920s) saw an explosion in the use of commissions, as the federal government began to take on a more active role in regulating the economy and addressing social issues.
- One significant development was the creation of the Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC) in 1887, which was one of the first independent regulatory agencies designed to oversee and regulate the railroads and later expanded to other industries.
- Commissions such as the Federal Trade Commission (FTC), created in 1914, were established to regulate business practices and curb monopolistic behavior.

- The Wartime Commissions during World War I, such as the War Industries Board and the Food Administration, were central to organizing and overseeing the production of war materials and resources.

5. Mid-20th Century: World War II and Beyond

- World War II saw the creation of numerous commissions to manage war-related needs, such as the War Production Board (WPB), which regulated the production of war materials, and the Office of Price Administration (OPA), which set price controls to curb inflation.
- After the war, commissions continued to be important in addressing social issues and national security concerns. For example, the Commission on Civil Rights (established in 1957) was instrumental in documenting and addressing racial discrimination and inequality.
- The Warren Commission (1963) was one of the most famous investigative bodies in U.S. history, tasked with investigating the assassination of President John F. Kennedy. The commission's findings and controversies still resonate today.

6. Late 20th Century to Present

- In the late 20th century and into the 21st century, commissions continued to serve a wide variety of functions, including:
    - Investigative bodies: Such as the 9/11 Commission (2004), which investigated the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001.
    - Advisory roles: Commissions have been established to advise the President or Congress on complex issues, such as the Bipartisan Policy Center or the National Commission on Fiscal Responsibility and Reform (2010), which addressed the growing national debt.
    - Administrative commissions: The Federal Communications Commission (FCC), the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), to name a few, oversee critical sectors of the economy and society.

7. Contemporary Commissions

- Today, commissions continue to serve as independent bodies that provide expert recommendations, oversee regulation, investigate important matters, and help administer certain government functions.
- Examples:

- o The Commission on Presidential Debates (CPD) organizes the presidential debates in U.S. elections.
- o The Commission on the Future of the United States Army (2016), which reviewed the size and structure of the Army.
- o The National Commission on Social Security Reform, which reviews and recommends changes to the Social Security system.

Conclusion

The history of commissions in the United States government reflects the evolving needs of the nation as it grows in size, complexity, and influence. Commissions have been created to address everything from regulatory and administrative challenges to social and political issues, and their importance continues into the present. While the exact nature and role of commissions have varied over time, they remain an essential tool in the functioning of the U.S. government.

g. Coroner

Coroner					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Washington	John	1631	1677	Westmoreland County, Virginia	CAJ
Fox	David	1650	1702	Lancaster County, Virginia	CAJ
Foushee	John	1697	1773	Richmond County, Virginia	ABC

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Coroner

In the American colonies, county coroners were primarily responsible for investigating suspicious or sudden deaths, conducting inquests to determine the cause of death, and ensuring the King's interest by collecting any death duties owed to the crown, often by examining the deceased's property.

Most coroners in the early colonial period did not have formal medical training, relying more on witness testimony and visual inspection of the body to determine the cause of death.

As a representative of the crown, the coroner would also ensure that any property of the deceased was properly accounted for, and any required death taxes were collected.

Because doctors were very sparse in the 1600s and most of the 1700s, a coroner, a prominent position then in ways not the same today, fill the role that might be expected of doctors today.

h. Council - Virginia Colony

Virginia Colony Council					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Utie	John	1593	1637	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Wormeley I	Ralph	1615	1650	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Wormeley	Christopher	1590	1651	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Martiau	Nicholas	1591	1657	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Hill I	Edward	?	1663	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Carter I	John	1613	1669	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Reade	George	1608	1674	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Warner I	Augustine	1610	1674	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Bennett	Richard	1609	1675	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Washington	John	1631	1677	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Ball	William	1615	1680	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ

Warner II	Augustine	1642	1681	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Lewis II	John	1633	1689	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Armstead	John	1630	1695	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Hill II	Edward	1637	1700	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Fox	David	1650	1702	Jamestown, Virginia	ABC
Wormeley II	Ralph	1650	1703	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Ring	Joseph	1646	1703	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Ball	Joseph	1649	1711	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Lewis III	John	1669	1725	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Carter	Robert	1663	1732	Williamsburg, Virginia	CAJ
Carter III	John	1689	1742	Williamsburg, Virginia	CAJ

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Virginia Colony Council

The Virginia Colony Council consisted of a group of 12 prominent men, appointed by the English monarchy, with the function of advising the governor and lieutenant governor. Appointments were for life.

Their primary duty was to advise the Governor on all aspects of colonial governance, including military, economic, and political issues.

Together with the Governor, the Council formed the highest court in the colony, hearing appeals and major legal cases.

Council members participated in the General Assembly, acting as the upper house, where they could review and approve legislation alongside the elected representatives from the House of Burgesses.

The Council was one half of the Virginia Colony General Assembly, with the other half being the House of Burgesses. The Council was in existence from the early 1600s to 1776.

The Virginia Council moved from meeting in Jamestown to Williamsburg in 1699 when the capital of the Virginia colony was officially relocated to Middle Plantation, which was then renamed Williamsburg. The primary reason for the move was a fire that destroyed the statehouse in Jamestown in 1698, prompting the General Assembly to establish the new capital at Williamsburg.

The Council made recommendations for sheriffs, militia officers, justices, and other county appointments. Such a system perpetuated the holding of county positions by family members of the most prominent (wealthiest) families.

The 1698 establishment of the Board of Trade in England changed the various roles that governing individuals and bodies had in the Virginia Colony. One change was an increase in the Virginia Colony Council's power and a decrease in the Virginia Colony governor's power. The Council members were now chosen by Board members in England, versus being chosen by the Colony's governor.

The Virginia Colony's Council effectively ended with the adoption of the Virginia Constitution of 1776, which dissolved the council and distributed its powers to separate branches of government, including an elected legislature, marking the transition of Virginia from a British colony to a state with a fully independent government system; essentially abolishing the council's role in governance.

i. **Court Clerk**

Court Clerk					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Stark	Robert	1740	1806	Mississippi Territory	CAJ
Stark	Theodore	1770	1843	Mississippi Territory	CAJ
Jenkins	MA	1810	1870	Yazoo County, Mississippi	CAJ

In 1858, MA Jenkins ran for Yazoo County clerk and got most of the votes (641 votes).

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Court Clerk

In the 1700s and 1800s, a "Clerk of the Court" or "County Clerk" was primarily responsible for meticulously recording official documents, including deeds, wills, marriage licenses, births, deaths, court proceedings, and other vital records within their county, essentially acting as the keeper of the local public record; they also often oversaw elections and administered oaths to officials.

In the 1700s, the clerk's role was especially crucial as written records were not as widely available, relying heavily on meticulous record-keeping.

Clerks often received fees for each document they recorded, which was a primary part of their income.

j. Deputy Governor - Virginia Colony

Deputy Governor – Virginia Colony					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Carter	Robert	1663	1732	Williamsburg, Virginia	CAJ

When the official governor was not present in the colony, the deputy governor assumed full governing power.

k. Governor – Virginia Colony

Virginia Colony Governor					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**

Reade	George	1608	1674	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Bennett	Richard	1609	1675	Jamestown, Virginia	CAJ
Carter	Robert	1663	1732	Williamsburg, Virginia	CAJ

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Virginia Colony Governor

Assessing the success of Virginia colony governors is complex, but generally, they are considered partially successful, as they managed to establish a viable colony through the cultivation of tobacco but faced significant challenges like high mortality rates among settlers, conflicts with Native Americans, and struggles to maintain a profitable economy.

Under royal rule, the House of Burgesses, a representative assembly, gained more power, gradually leading to a greater degree of self-governance for the colonists.

Conflicts between the governing elite and the general population, including issues related to land distribution, sometimes caused instability.

Regime changes in England affected policies and outcomes in the Virginia Colony. After the accession of the Parliamentarians to England rule by the 1650s, the toleration to Puritans and Quakers in the Virginia Colony changed. An ancestor, Richard Bennett (1609-1675), a Puritan, was appointed as Virginia Colony governor in the 1650s by the Parliamentarian government in England.

l. Justice

Justice					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Martiau	Nicholas	1591	1657	York County, Virginia	CAJ
Carter II	John	1613	1669	Lancaster County, Virginia	CAJ

Warner I	Augustine	1610	1674	Gloucester County, Virginia	CAJ
Bennett	Richard	1609	1675	Nansemond County, Virginia	CAJ
Spilman	Clement	1620	1677	Westmoreland County, Virginia	ABC
Ball	William	1615	1680	Lancaster County, Virginia	CAJ
Kenner	Richard	1635	1692	Northumberland County, Virginia	ABC
Fox	David	1650	1702	Lancaster County, Virginia	ABC
Matthew	Thomas	1630	1705	Northumberland County, Virginia	ABC
Ball	Joesph	1649	1711	Lancaster County, Virginia	CAJ
Claughton	John	1659	1726	Northumberland County, Virginia	ABC
Cralle II	John	1674	1728	Northumberland County, Virginia	ABC
Washington	Augustine	1694	1743	Westmoreland County, Virginia	CAJ
Foushee	John	1697	1773	Richmond County, Virginia	ABC
Cralle III	John	1724	1778	Northumberland County, Virginia	ABC
Veale	Thomas	1725	1793	Portsmouth, Virginia	DDL
Buckholtz	Abraham	1729	1812	Georgetown District, South Carolina	CAJ
Stark	Theodore	1770	1843	Mississippi Territory	CAJ
Jenkins	Edmond	1784	1850	Tallahatchie County, Mississippi	CAJ

Jenkins	MA	1810	1870	Yazoo County, Mississippi	CAJ
Cocke	John Shepherd	1798	1877	Albemarle County, Virginia	LSC

In 1775, Abraham Buckholtz was one of several justices in the Georgetown District of South Carolina.

As a justice of the peace in Adams County, Mississippi Territory in the early 1800s, Theodore Stark received and published testimony related to what would become known as "Burr's Conspiracy". "Burr's Conspiracy" was about Arron Burr committing treason against the United States by attempting to acquire land in the New Orleans area that the United States was interested in acquiring. During this period, Stark took testimony from Andrew Jackson about whether Jackson owed any money to defendant Arron Burr. Burr was eventually tried for treason related to these events and found guilty.

Theodore Stark lived in the Mississippi Territory for a few years in the early 1800s (as did his brother Robert Stark) and would then relocate to South Carolina and have a son, also named Theordore, who graduated from South Carolina College in 1840. In 1828, Theodore Stark, Sr was permitted to practice law in South Carolina.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about Justices

In the American colonies, justices, particularly "Justices of the Peace," primarily functioned as local judges, responsible for maintaining order, settling minor disputes, and administering basic legal functions; often appointed by the British crown and playing a key role in the colonial legal system, which largely mirrored the English system; they were responsible for upholding British law within their respective colonies.

Limited authority: Justices of the Peace typically handled minor civil cases, small claims, and preliminary hearings for more serious crimes.

Local authority: They were appointed at the local level, often by the colonial governor, and were familiar with the community they served.

Maintaining order: A key function was to enforce local laws and settle disputes before they escalated, contributing to social stability.

British legal system: The colonial justice system closely resembled the English system, with justices of the peace being a fundamental part of it.

Issuing warrants: Justices could issue warrants for arrests in criminal cases.

Conducting preliminary hearings: They would determine if there was enough evidence to proceed with a case in a higher court.

Performing marriages: In some colonies, justices were also authorized to perform marriage ceremonies.

Taking depositions: They could take sworn statements from witnesses.

Colonial grievances: As colonial discontent with British rule grew, colonists often criticized the power and impartiality of British-appointed justices, particularly regarding the lack of jury trials in certain cases.

Intolerable Acts: These acts further inflamed tensions by allowing British officials to be tried in England, further undermining the role of colonial justices.

A justice of the peace in the 1600s and 1700s in the Virginia Colony had various roles, including ensuring an orderly community, county adherence to Colony laws, judging unlawful acts, and mediating disputes. Justice of the peace roles could overlap with magistrate roles and the terms might be used interchangeably. Justices should have had some knowledge and understanding of the law.

The Virginia Eastern Shore County (initially only one county was established on the Eastern Shore, which eventually will become two counties - Accomack and Northampton) established a governmental "body" in 1632, with members referred to as justices. The body initially met in private homes. This group would soon be referred to as a court. The primary purpose of this court initially was to resolve disputes related to sales and purchases and other financially related transactions. Similar developments occurred in other Virginia Colony counties.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Georgetown District of South Carolina

The Georgetown District of South Carolina was created in 1768 when Craven County was renamed. In 1800, South Carolina abolished the district and created a new county system but continued to refer to counties as districts until after the Civil War. Here are some other highlights in the history of the area:

- **Georgetown town** The town of Georgetown was established in 1729 and became an official port of entry in 1732. The city was developed in a four-by-eight block grid, and the original city grid is a historic district on the National Register of Historic Places.

- **American Revolution** Georgetown played a role in the American Revolution, and British troops occupied the area from 1780 to 1781.

- **Indigo production** Indigo was the main crop in Georgetown's early years, and the area produced millions of tons of lumber products.

- **Rice production** After the Revolution, rice production grew, and by 1840, Georgetown District accounted for almost half of the total rice crop in the United States.

m. Lighthouse Operator

Lighthouse Operator					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Luke	Paul Dale	1761	1819	Hampton, Virginia	DDL
Luke IV	John	1793	1866	Hampton, Virginia	DDL

Paul Dale Luke was the operator of the Old Point Comfort Lighthouse in Hampton, Virginia from 1811 to 1815. His son, John Luke IV, was the operator from 1820 to 1844.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about Light House Operators

The Old Point Comfort Lighthouse in Hampton, Virginia, holds historical significance as one of the oldest lighthouses on the Chesapeake Bay, built in 1802, and served as a crucial navigational beacon for ships entering Hampton Roads, particularly during the Civil War when it guided Union vessels through Confederate minefields; its location at "Old Point Comfort" also has historical importance as a site where the first enslaved Africans arrived in Virginia in 1619, making the lighthouse a symbol of both maritime navigation and the nation's complex past with slavery.

Early Navigation Aid: Even before the lighthouse was officially constructed, there is evidence of a rudimentary light being used at "Old Point Comfort" as early as 1774 to guide ships into Hampton Roads.

Construction and Design: The current lighthouse, a 54-foot white octagonal structure, was built in 1802 and is considered the second oldest lighthouse on the Chesapeake Bay.

Civil War Significance: During the Civil War, the lighthouse played a vital role in guiding Union ships navigating the waters around Fort Monroe, which was a key Union stronghold.

Symbolic Importance: Due to its location at "Old Point Comfort," where the first enslaved Africans arrived in Virginia in 1619, the lighthouse is also seen as a symbol of the history of slavery in the United States.

Current Status: Today, the Old Point Comfort Lighthouse is part of the Fort Monroe National Monument and is open to the public for tours, serving as a reminder of the region's maritime and historical legacy.

ChatGPT Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the History of the Importance of Lighthouses to the United States of America

Lighthouses played a crucial role in the United States during the 1800s, serving as essential infrastructure for the country's maritime economy, national security, and territorial expansion. Here's why they were significant:

1. Facilitating Commerce and Trade

- The 19th century saw rapid growth in maritime trade, with the U.S. relying heavily on shipping to transport goods domestically and internationally. Lighthouses helped guide ships safely through dangerous coastlines, harbors, and waterways, reducing the risk of shipwrecks and protecting valuable cargo.

2. Supporting Territorial Expansion

- As the United States expanded its borders westward, especially after the Louisiana Purchase (1803) and the annexation of Texas, lighthouses were established along the Gulf of Mexico and Pacific coastlines to support new ports and settlers. These structures symbolized the federal government's presence in newly acquired or less-developed regions.

3. Promoting National Unity

- The construction and maintenance of lighthouses were federally funded, beginning with the 1789 Lighthouse Act. By providing centralized oversight, the U.S. government ensured uniformity in navigation aids, fostering a sense of national unity and federal responsibility for public safety and infrastructure.

4. Boosting Economic Development

- The safety provided by lighthouses encouraged maritime industries such as fishing, whaling, and coastal trading. This, in turn, stimulated local economies and contributed to the broader economic growth of the young nation.

5. Enhancing Naval and Military Strategy

- Lighthouses were critical to the U.S. Navy and merchant mariners for navigation. They also played a strategic role in wars, such as the War of 1812 and the Civil War, where controlling lighthouse operations was essential for safe troop and supply movements.

6. Technological Advancement and Innovation

- Lighthouses became a platform for technological innovation, with advancements in lens technology (like the Fresnel lens) improving the range and intensity of light. These innovations reflected the nation's commitment to improving its infrastructure.

7. Symbol of Sovereignty and Leadership

- Lighthouses symbolized American sovereignty, particularly in contested waters. They reinforced the country's leadership in maritime navigation, making the U.S. a trusted partner for international trade and commerce.

By ensuring safer navigation and fostering economic growth, lighthouses were indispensable to the United States' development in the 1800s. Their construction and maintenance demonstrated the federal government's role in supporting infrastructure and safety during a transformative era.

n. Magistrate

Magistrate					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Pope	Nathaniel	1603	1660	Westmoreland County, Virginia	CAJ
Thomas	Matthew	1630	1705	Northumberland County, Virginia	ABC
Wingfield II	Charles	1752	1819	Albemarle County, Virginia	MAE
Cocke	John Shepherd	1798	1877	Albemarle County, Virginia	LSC

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Magistrate

In the American colonies, "magistrates," often referred to as "Justices of the Peace," were local officials appointed by the colonial government who held limited judicial power, primarily responsible for maintaining order, settling minor disputes, and issuing warrants, essentially serving as the primary legal authority at the local level, drawing heavily from the English system of Justices of the Peace which was established during the Middle Ages; this system became the foundation for the legal structure of the American colonies.

Origin in English Law: The concept of a magistrate, particularly the Justice of the Peace, originated in medieval England and was directly adopted by the American colonies.

Local Authority: Magistrates were usually prominent local citizens chosen by the colonial governor or assembly to oversee law enforcement and minor legal matters within their county or township.

Their duties included:

> Hearing minor criminal cases
> Issuing warrants for arrest
> Conducting preliminary hearings
> Settling small civil disputes

Overseeing local governance issues like road maintenance and licensing
Lay Judges: Unlike later professional judges, most colonial magistrates were not trained lawyers, but respected community members who served in a part-time capacity.

Impact on American Legal System: The system of Justices of the Peace played a significant role in shaping the American legal system, contributing to the principle of local governance and the use of lay juries.

In the Virginia Colony, a magistrate in the 1600s would likely have authority for judicial affairs related to county laws and regulations. Magistrate roles could overlap with justice of the peace roles and the terms might be used interchangeably.

o. Mayor

Mayor					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Stark Jr.	Robert	1767	1830	South Carolina	CAJ
Torian	John Thomas	1844	1920	Virgilian, Virginia	GT

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about Mayors

In the American colonies, the position of "mayor" was largely adopted from the British system, where towns and cities were governed by a mayor and council, with the mayor usually appointed by the colonial governor; this meant that while colonists could sometimes elect their city councils, the governor held the power to choose the mayor, reflecting the hierarchical structure of British rule at the time.

When English settlers established colonies in North America, they brought the concept of a mayor with them, incorporating it into their local governing structures.

In most colonial cities, the governor appointed the mayor, although some cities might have had a degree of local input in selecting candidates.

After the American Revolution, the power to appoint mayors gradually shifted to the elected city councils, allowing for more direct citizen participation in selecting their leaders.

In the Virginia Colony, the "mayor" position primarily existed in the city of Williamsburg, where it was established under a royal charter, with the first mayor being John Holloway; the position was characterized by a system of elected officials including aldermen and common councilmen, who together governed the city, with the mayor acting as the head of this governing body; notable early mayors included figures like Thomas Everard, who served during the colonial period.

p. Postmaster

Postmaster					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Cocke	Samuel	1771	1844	Goochland County, Virginia	LSC
Jenkins	MA	1810	1870	Yazoo County, Mississippi	CAJ
Cocke	John Shepherd	1778	1877	Albemarle County, Virginia	CAJ

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about Postmasters

In the American colonies, a postmaster was responsible for managing the local mail delivery system, playing a crucial role in communication between towns and colonies, and gaining significant importance during the Revolutionary War as the primary means of long-distance information exchange; notably, Benjamin Franklin is remembered as a prominent postmaster who significantly improved the colonial postal system when appointed as Deputy Postmaster General in 1753, making mail delivery more efficient and reliable across the colonies.

Communication lifeline: Before the widespread use of telegraphs or telephones, the postmaster was the primary way to send and receive news and information over long distances, especially crucial for political communication and coordinating activities during the Revolutionary War.

Revolutionary War impact: During the war, the colonial postal system became vital for communication between the Continental Congress, military commanders, and different colonies, despite challenges posed by British disruption of mail routes.

News dissemination: Postmasters often had close ties to local newspapers, allowing them to control which news reached the public by managing the delivery of newspapers through the mail.

Political influence: Due to their access to information and communication networks, postmasters could wield significant political influence within their communities.

The United States Postal Service saw great growth during the 1800s, after being established in 1775, with the first Postmaster General being Benjamin Franklin. In 1792, 6,000 miles (about twice the width of the United States) comprised the mail routes. By 1830, reportedly there were

6,500 post offices, and mail routes went over 100,000 miles (about 161,000 km). The Postal Service played an important and unique part in contributing to the expansion of the United States in the 1800s, as it offered a primary method of communication. The Postal Service's history shows that it was usually initiative-taking in using modern technologies, as the technologies were developed, whenever the modern technologies could improve the postal services.

The position of postmaster for the various localities would frequently change as the party in power in Washington changed. When a new party took over, usually new appointments would be made to most, if not all, of the postmaster positions. The President had the ultimate selection authority but usually relied upon Congressmen for recommendations. In 1889, 31,000 postmaster positions changed hands.

ChatGPT Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the History of Postmasters in America

The history of postmasters in America is deeply connected to the evolution of the U.S. Postal Service (USPS) and the country itself. Here are some important statistics and milestones related to the history of American postmasters:

1. **Establishment of the Post Office Department (1775)**: The position of postmaster general was established when Benjamin Franklin was appointed the first postmaster general by the Second Continental Congress in 1775. He was tasked with creating a more efficient mail delivery system for the colonies.
2. **Women Postmasters (Since the 1800s)**: The U.S. has seen women serving as postmasters since the 19th century. The first known woman postmaster, Mary Katherine Goddard, was appointed in 1775 in Baltimore. Currently, women make up a significant percentage of postmasters.
3. **Expansion of Post Offices (19th-20th Centuries)**: As the United States expanded, the number of post offices grew rapidly. By 1901, there were over 76,945 post offices, most of which were run by postmasters who played a key role in connecting rural America.
4. **Presidential Appointment of Postmasters (1789-1971)**: From 1789 until 1971, many postmasters in larger towns and cities were appointed by the president. The Postal Reorganization Act of 1970, which transformed the Post Office Department into the United States Postal Service, shifted postmaster appointments to a more merit-based system under the USPS rather than political appointment.
5. **Current Number of Postmasters**: As of recent data, the USPS employs thousands of postmasters who manage and oversee individual post offices across the nation. The total number has decreased over time as post offices have consolidated and closed due to changing demands and technology.

6. **Diversity in the Role**: Today, postmasters reflect a wide range of racial, ethnic, and gender diversity, demonstrating the inclusive nature of federal employment and the evolving demographics of America.
7. **Community Importance**: Historically, postmasters were often some of the most influential people in their communities, not only managing mail but often serving as political and civic leaders due to their role in facilitating communication. The role of the postmaster continues to evolve, but its rich history is a testament to the importance of communication and infrastructure in building and maintaining the nation.

q. Revolutionary War Committees

Overseeing Trade with England Committee					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Cralle III	John	1724	1778	Northumberland County, Virginia	ABC

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about The Committee Overseeing Trade with England

The Committee Overseeing Trade with England is believed to have been a county committee overseeing trade with England. Many Virginia counties set up such committees in 1774 to ensure trade with England was limited to restrictions influenced by disagreements with England.

Some restrictions on trade with England apparently were put into place in the Northern Neck during the 1770s. John Cralle was on the Northumberland County Committee.

With a possible conflict with England in the 1770s, a Virginia Committee of Safety was established by officials in the then capital Williamsburg. One of the initiatives of the committee was the creation of a navy, designating sites on the James, York, and Rappahannock Rivers as locations for building ships. The shipbuilding facility, known as Gosport, on the Elizabeth River in Norfolk County, was authorized to build two frigates of size five hundred tons with thirty-six guns. Earlier in the 1700s, Gosport was a naval yard supporting English naval ships. Gosport was also the named of an English shipbuilding and repair yard in Portsmouth, England, which almost certainly was the source of Gosport and Portsmouth's name. Important shipbuilding and

repairing activities have continued along the Elizabeth River, which divide the cities of Portsmouth and Norfolk, to the present.

ChatGPT Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about Virginia's Committee of Safety

Virginia's *Committee of Safety* played a pivotal role in the initial stages of the American Revolution. It was established in the 1770s as part of a broader effort by the American colonies to resist British oppression and to prepare for potential conflict, particularly after tensions had escalated in the wake of the Intolerable Acts and the early events of the Revolution.

Background:

In 1774, British colonial policies grew more repressive, especially after the passage of the *Coercive Acts* (also known as the Intolerable Acts). Virginia was at the forefront of colonial resistance. The Virginia House of Burgesses, the colony's elected legislative body, was a center of revolutionary activity. When the British government dissolved the House of Burgesses in May 1774, in retaliation for its support of the First Continental Congress, the members took matters into their own hands and called for the establishment of extra-legal committees to coordinate opposition to British rule.

Establishment of the Committee of Safety:

In **July 1774**, in response to the growing crisis, Virginia's patriots formed the first *Committee of Safety* at the suggestion of the Continental Congress. It was a response to the threat of violence from British troops and the need to organize militias for defense and other activities associated with resistance.

- **The Committee of Safety** was created by the Virginia Convention, which had met in Williamsburg in March 1775. This group of colonial leaders, which included prominent Virginians like Patrick Henry, George Wythe, and Thomas Jefferson, aimed to function as an alternative to the British-appointed colonial government and to organize military defense.
- The Committee was given broad powers, including the authority to call up the militia, to make military appointments, and to seize supplies. It was meant to ensure the colony's defense while circumventing the formal colonial government, which had become unreliable in the face of British authority.

Key Functions:

- **Organizing Defense**: One of the Committee's most important roles was to oversee the establishment and readiness of local militias. This was particularly crucial as tensions with Britain grew and clashes like the *Battles of Lexington and Concord* in April 1775 signaled the start of open warfare.
- **Mobilizing Support**: The Committee also functioned as a sort of executive body to implement the decisions of the Virginia Convention and to manage local patriot sentiment. It took steps to coordinate resources for the Continental Army and other military activities.
- **Independent Authority**: The Committee of Safety was unique in that it operated outside the colonial structure and functioned in parallel with Virginia's royal government. The Committee's influence grew after the royal governor, Lord Dunmore, fled Williamsburg in 1775, leaving a vacuum of power. This allowed the Committee of Safety to become an informal de facto government in Virginia.

Notable Figures:

- **Patrick Henry**: One of the most prominent leaders associated with the Committee of Safety. Henry, who famously declared, "Give me liberty, or give me death!" in 1775, served as its first chairman. His leadership helped propel Virginia to support revolutionary efforts.
- **Thomas Jefferson**: Although Jefferson was not initially a member of the Committee, he became increasingly involved in Virginia's revolutionary leadership. His later role as Governor of Virginia was partly due to his involvement in organizing revolutionary activities through bodies like the Committee of Safety.
- **Edmund Pendleton, Richard Henry Lee, and others**: These figures were also instrumental in both the Virginia Convention and the work of the Committee.

Transition to the Revolutionary War:

By mid-1775, as the conflict with Britain became more active and widespread, Virginia's Committee of Safety continued its work of mobilizing troops, coordinating with the Continental Congress, and preparing the colony for full-scale war. It was directly involved in actions such as the *Battle of Great Bridge* in December 1775, which was the first significant military engagement in Virginia between British forces and local militias.

In 1776, as Virginia declared its independence from Britain with the *Virginia Declaration of Rights* and the state's new constitution, the Committee of Safety was formally dissolved and its responsibilities were transferred to the new government structure, including the office of the

Governor and the state legislature. The Committee's role as an extrajudicial and emergency body was no longer needed once the war for independence was fully underway.

Legacy:

The Committee of Safety was a crucial element in Virginia's path to independence and its role in the broader Continental resistance against Britain. It exemplified the growing shift from loyalism to revolution and served as an early model of local governance in the absence of British authority. The Committee's actions helped lay the groundwork for the formal establishment of Virginia as a state within the new United States.

The committee's legacy is also a reminder of the ability of local grassroots organizations to have a profound impact on national history, especially during times of crisis. Its actions—ranging from organizing militias to asserting authority over local governments—contributed significantly to Virginia's role in the fight for independence.

r. Roads Overseer (mid-1700s)

Roads Overseer (mid-1700s)					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Cralle I	John	1645	1728	Northumberland County, Virginia	ABC
Eubank II	Thomas	1648	1732	Talbot County, Maryland	MAE
Foushee	John	1697	1773	Richmond County, Virginia	ABC
Cralle III	John	1724	1778	Northumberland County, Virginia	ABC

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about Roads Overseers

In the American colonies, road overseers (or road surveyors) were (often) unpaid officers who were responsible for ensuring adequate county roads and the maintenance of public roads.

Road overseers were answerable to the Justices of the Peace and had their accounts approved at the end of their term.

The quality and condition of roads varied from state to state and from road to road.

Roads, bridges, and ferries, as well as streams, creeks, and rivers, were principal factors in migrant decisions about where to settle. As settlements grew, and new counties were formed out of a much larger county, to better serve the needs of the settlements, a high priority of the new counties was to have well-functioning roads, bridges, and ferries. Streams, creeks, and rivers were often in poor shape for navigation without human intervention improving them. This led to a system of county authorities (for example, justices) being able to direct (order) residents to provide services that would ensure well-functioning roads, bridges, and ferries would be better. And this led to the development of the county road overseer position. The road overseer would provide residents orders as to what was needed. Records of these orders exist for many counties and these order records serve as excellent sources for identifying residents in the counties and other useful information related to the county and the residents.

s. Secretary of State

Secretary of State					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Stark Jr.	Robert	1762	1830	South Carolina	CAJ

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the Secretary of State

The office of a state's Secretary of State generally originated from the early formation of state constitutions, where it was established as a key administrative position responsible for maintaining official state records, authenticating government documents, and overseeing elections; essentially acting as the "keeper of the state's official seal.".

Early Roots: The concept of a "secretary of state" is derived from the European tradition of a high-level official responsible for managing official correspondence and documents within a government.

Constitutional Establishment: In the United States, most states included the Secretary of State position directly within their original state constitutions, defining its core responsibilities.

Evolving Duties: While the primary role of maintaining official state records remains central, over time, the Secretary of State's responsibilities have expanded to include managing business registrations, overseeing elections, and sometimes even administering certain aspects of campaign finance regulations depending on the state.

Variation by State: Although the core functions are similar, the specific duties and powers of a Secretary of State can differ significantly between states due to variations in state constitutions and legislative enactments.

Example of a state's Secretary of State history: In Maryland, the Secretary of State office was created by a constitutional amendment in 1838, replacing the Governor's Council and primarily focused on maintaining official documents and attesting to the Governor's signature.

t. Sheriff

Sheriff					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Armstead	John	1630	1695	Gloucester County, Virginia	CAJ
Hill II	Edward	1637	1700	Charles City County, Virginia	CAJ
Fox	David	1650	1702	Lancaster County, Virginia	ABC
Luke II	John	1649	1709	Northampton County, Virginia	DDL
Washington	Augustine	1694	1743	Westmoreland County, Virginia	CAJ
Hudson	Charles	1691	1748	Hanover County, Virginia	MAE
Champe, Jr.	John	1698	1763	King George County, Virginia	CAJ
Greenhill	David	1700	1772	Amelia County, Virginia	RWR

Foushee	John	1697	1773	Richmond County, Virginia	ABC
Claughton	Richard	1696	1773	Northumberland County, Virginia	ABC
Wingfield II	Charles	1752	1819	Albemarle County, Virginia	MAE
Stark	Theodore	1770	1843	South Carolina	CAJ
Jenkins	Edmund	1784	1850	Liberty, Mississippi	CAJ
Jenkins	MA	1810	1870	Yazoo City, Mississippi	CAJ

MA Jenkins was a candidate for Yazoo County's sheriff in 1851. While sheriff, MA Jenkins was authorized to also be responsible for assessing and collecting land taxes because the previous assessor/collector had resigned.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about Sheriff

In the American colonies, the office of "Sheriff" was directly adopted from England, where it originated, with early colonial settlers bringing the position across the Atlantic and establishing it within each county to maintain law and order; initially, sheriffs were appointed by the colonial governors, but later became elected positions as the colonies gained more self-governance, with their primary duties including enforcing laws, collecting taxes, and overseeing jails, essentially acting as the chief law enforcement officer of a county.

In the early colonial period, governors typically appointed sheriffs, but after the American Revolution, most colonies transitioned to electing sheriffs by popular vote.

The first recorded sheriff in America was appointed in Virginia in 1634.

Virginia Colony sheriffs in the 1600s and 1700s had several functions (that could vary from county to county) related to assisting the judicial system, arresting, and bringing people to trial, supervising the prison system, enforcing, and collecting taxes. The sheriff position started developing in the late 1600s. Occasionally the term constable might be used instead of sheriff.

u. Solicitor

Solicitor					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Stark, Jr	Robert	1767	1830	South Carolina	CAJ

Robert Stark, Jr was for many years the solicitor for the Southern District of South Carolina.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about the State Solicitor

A state solicitor, often called a "State Solicitor General," is the chief appellate advocate for a state, primarily responsible for representing the state's interests in higher courts by defending state laws, crafting legal arguments, and advising the Attorney General on complex legal issues, particularly when appealing cases to the state's highest court or the federal Supreme Court; their main duty is to ensure consistency in legal positions taken by the state across different cases.

Key duties of a state solicitor:

- **Appellate litigation:** Representing the state in appeals to higher courts, including preparing briefs and presenting oral arguments.

- **Legal advice:** Providing legal opinions and counsel to the Attorney General and other state officials on complex legal matters.

- **Defending state laws:** Arguing in favor of state laws when challenged in court, including against federal overreach.

- **Case selection:** Deciding which cases to appeal to higher courts on behalf of the state.

- **Amicus curiae briefs:** Filing amicus briefs in cases where the state has an interest, even if not directly involved.

Historical context:

- **Origin from federal model:** The role of a state solicitor is largely modeled after the U.S. Solicitor General, who holds a similar position at the federal level, primarily responsible for representing the federal government in Supreme Court cases.

- **Evolution of the role:** While the core function of representing the state in higher courts remains consistent, the specific responsibilities of a state solicitor can vary depending on state laws and the Attorney General's priorities.

Important points to remember: **Senior legal position:** The state solicitor is typically considered one of the most senior legal positions within a state's Attorney General's office.

- **Focus on appellate courts:** Unlike many other attorneys in the Attorney General's office, the state solicitor primarily focuses on cases reaching the state's highest court and sometimes federal appellate courts.

- **Political considerations:** While primarily focused on legal arguments, the state solicitor's role can sometimes involve considering political implications when representing the state's interests.

v. State Legislature

State Legislature					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Stark	Alexander Bolling	1764	1822	South Carolina	CAJ
Stark Jr.	Robert	1767	1830	South Carolina	CAJ
Stark	Theodore	1770	1843	Mississippi Territory	CAJ
Jenkins	Edmund	1784	1850	Tallahatchie County, Mississippi	CAJ
Jenkins	MA	1810	1870	Mississippi	CAJ

In 1802, Robert Stark, Jr. was elected as the speaker of the South Carolina's House of Representatives. In 1804, as a member of South Carolina's House of Representatives (state legislature), Robert Stark, Jr voted to repeal the law that allowed South Carolina to import Africans to become slaves. The repeal failed by one vote. In the first half of the 1800s, other states also had efforts to ban the import of Africans as slaves.

Alexander Bolling, Robert Jr, and Theordore Stark were sons of Robert Stark, Sr (1740-1806). Robert Stark Sr also had a brother named Theodore.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about State Legislatures

The history of state legislatures in the United States traces back to the colonial era, where each colony had a representative assembly that essentially transformed into a state legislature after independence, with these early state legislatures serving as the model for the US Congress and subsequent state legislatures; essentially, the colonial assemblies directly evolved into the first state legislatures, influencing the structure of the later federal Congress established by the Constitution.

Colonial origins: Each of the original 13 colonies had a representative assembly which became the foundation for state legislatures after the American Revolution.

Virginia as a model: The Virginia colony, with its early assembly established in Jamestown in 1619, is often considered a significant starting point for the development of state legislatures.

Influence on the federal government: The structure and functions of the first state legislatures heavily influenced the design of the US Congress as outlined in the Constitution.

Post-Civil War impact: Following the Civil War, state legislatures played a key role in enforcing reconstruction policies.

South Carolina

In the 1700s and 1800s, the South Carolina legislature was largely composed of wealthy planters, with prominent figures like Charles Pinckney, Thomas Sumter, and John C. Calhoun serving in the state's legislative bodies, often dominated by the elite class of slaveholders from the English Caribbean colony of Barbados; the "Commons House of Assembly" represented the "freemen" of the colony, while the upper house consisted of representatives chosen by leading landowners.

Dominant group: Wealthy planters and slaveholders held significant power in the legislature.

Notable figures: Charles Pinckney, Charles Cotesworth Pinckney, Thomas Sumter, and John C. Calhoun were prominent South Carolina legislators during this period.

Legislative structure: The General Assembly included a lower house, the Commons House of Assembly, representing the "freemen," and an upper house consisting of representatives chosen by leading landowners.

Mississippi

Before Mississippi became a state, it was part of the Mississippi Territory, which was established in 1798. The first legislative body consisted of appointed members, and after 1805, the legislature was composed of an appointed council and an elected House of Representatives.

Mississippi became the 20th state of the U.S. on December 10, 1817. Following statehood, the legislative bodies were established, with the Mississippi State Senate and Mississippi House of Representatives as the two chambers.

ChatGPT Artificial Intelligence Search – Key Points About the Southern States Attitudes Toward the Importation of Enslaved Africans

The southern states of the United States had complex and often contradictory attitudes toward the importation of enslaved Africans. This history is tied to economic, political, and social factors that evolved from the late 18th century through the early 19th century. Below is an outline of key historical developments related to southern states' attempts to regulate or repeal the transatlantic slave trade:

1. Early Opposition to Slave Imports

- **Economic Competition:** In some southern regions, there was opposition to the continued importation of enslaved Africans because it could reduce the value of the enslaved population already in the U.S. Planters who already owned enslaved people feared competition from newly imported enslaved individuals would depress their investments.

- **Moral and Political Concerns:** Some southern leaders, including Thomas Jefferson, expressed reservations about the transatlantic slave trade, though often for reasons more related to societal stability or international image than genuine moral opposition to slavery.

2. Constitutional Compromise (1787)

- During the Constitutional Convention, a major debate arose about the future of the transatlantic slave trade.

- The resulting compromise allowed the U.S. Congress to regulate or ban the importation of enslaved Africans starting in **1808**. This clause (Article I, Section 9, Clause 1) represented a concession to southern states reliant on slavery while setting a timeline for potential national action.

3. The Act Prohibiting Importation of Slaves (1807)

- In line with the constitutional timeline, Congress passed the Act Prohibiting Importation of Slaves in 1807, effective January 1, 1808. This law banned the transatlantic slave trade but did not end slavery within the United States.

- Some southern leaders supported the ban because they believed that restricting the supply of enslaved people would increase their value domestically.

4. Continued Illegal Trade

- Despite the 1808 ban, illegal smuggling of enslaved Africans continued, particularly through southern ports like Charleston, South Carolina, and Mobile, Alabama.

- Southern states often ignored smuggling, and enforcement of the ban was weak. Some southern leaders even advocated for reopening the trade in the mid-19th century to meet labor demands, particularly as cotton production expanded westward.

5. Push for Reopening the Slave Trade (1850s)

- By the 1850s, pro-slavery advocates in the South, particularly in states like South Carolina and Mississippi, began to call for the repeal of laws banning the transatlantic slave trade. This push was driven by:

    - Increased demand for labor in expanding cotton plantations.

    - Belief that reopening the trade could reduce the cost of enslaved labor and make slavery more accessible to poorer white farmers.

- However, these efforts faced opposition even within the South, as many elite planters feared that a renewed influx of enslaved Africans would destabilize the existing social order and lower the value of their "property."

6. Broader Context of Southern States' Actions

- The South's ambivalence about the slave trade reflected its broader struggle to reconcile its dependence on slavery with changing national and international attitudes. By the mid-19th century, slavery was increasingly criticized globally, and many southern leaders feared the stigma of continuing an international trade viewed as inhumane.

- While southern states fought fiercely to preserve the institution of slavery, their position on the importation of enslaved Africans was shaped by pragmatic concerns about economic self-interest and social control rather than principled opposition to the trade.

Conclusion

Although southern states were deeply committed to slavery, their attitudes toward the transatlantic slave trade fluctuated based on economic and political calculations. While the

official abolition of the trade in 1808 marked a critical legal milestone, the broader struggle over slavery would continue to dominate U.S. politics until the Civil War.

w. Surveyor

Surveyor					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Cralle I	John	1665	1728	Northumberland County, Virginia	ABC
Hicks	Robert	1658	1739	Brunswick County, Virginia	GT
Ransone	Richard	1700	1748	Brunswick County, Virginia	GT
Wright	Thomas	?	1767	Amelia County, Virginia	RWR
Young	Michael Cadet	1700	1770	Brunswick County, Virginia	ABC
Cralle III	John	1724	1778	Northumberland County, Virginia	ABC
Atkinson	William S.	1740	1801	Halifax County, Virginia	ABC
Stark	Alexander Bolling	1764	1822	South Carolina	CAJ
Stark	Theodore	1770	1843	South Carolina	CAJ

In 1830, Theodore Stark was elected South Carolina's Surveyor General.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about Surveyor

In the American colonies, county surveyors played a crucial role in establishing land ownership by surveying and mapping newly acquired territories, essentially acting as the official land measurers for their respective counties, with their work being highly respected in colonial society

and often tied to the British Crown's land distribution process; notable figures like George Washington gained prominence through their surveying careers, which were considered a key pathway to political influence and land acquisition.

Colonial surveyors were responsible for dividing and marking land boundaries for settlers, transferring land from the British crown to private ownership, and ensuring accurate property lines.

Due to their knowledge of land ownership and their direct involvement in the process of settling new territories, surveyors held a respected position in colonial society, often coming from the ranks of the gentry.

Colonial surveyors used tools like the plane surveying compass, chains, and steel-tipped pens to measure distances and mark boundaries.

Many surveyors learned their craft through apprenticeship under experienced surveyors, and some colonies later began offering surveying instruction in institutions like the College of Philadelphia.

The ability to survey land often led to political opportunities, with many surveyors becoming involved in local government and land speculation.

During the 1700s, more structure evolved in terms of land-ownership record keeping and proof of ownership. The development of improved county-wide governments helps to account for this evolution. A system of land surveying evolved which was critical for improving land ownership records. Good, reliable surveying was necessary for economic growth, which was based on land ownership and use. Land surveys often referred to streams, tree lines, creeks, and other natural occurrences such as land property lines. The surveying system included the development of competent land surveyors, needed for the success of the system.

Related to the development of the surveyor profession in Virginia was a legal requirement for landowners to ensure that their property boundaries were accurately marked and maintained. A group of appointed officials, known as "processioner," would walk the boundaries of each property and make note of any discrepancies or disputes.

ChatGPT Artificial Intelligence Search – Importance of Surveying and Mapping Along the Atlantic Coast in the Early Years of the United States

Surveying and mapping the Atlantic coast of the United States in the early years of the country was crucial for several reasons, both practical and strategic. The importance of these activities can be understood in a few key areas:

1. National Security and Defense

- **Strategic Military Planning:** After gaining independence from Britain, the United States needed to ensure its coastal defenses were strong. Mapping the Atlantic coastline helped identify natural harbors, navigational routes, and potential sites for fortifications to protect against foreign threats, particularly from Britain, France, and Spain.
- **Naval Readiness:** Understanding the coastlines was also important for naval operations. Mapping the Atlantic coast helped ensure U.S. ships could navigate safely and effectively, improving the nation's ability to defend itself and maintain control over its waters.

2. Economic Development

- **Trade and Commerce:** The Atlantic coast was the primary gateway for trade in and out of the United States, especially in the early years. By surveying and mapping the coastline, the government could identify the best ports for commercial activity, which was vital for the growing economy. Accurate maps helped merchants, ship captains, and businesses plan shipping routes and optimize trade.
- **Navigation and Infrastructure Development:** Coastal surveys also facilitated the development of infrastructure such as lighthouses, docks, and roads, which were crucial for the economic growth of the new nation. Accurate maps helped guide the construction of these infrastructures in a way that maximized efficiency and safety.

3. Territorial Expansion and Settlement

- **Land Claims and Settlement:** After the Revolutionary War, the United States was in the process of solidifying its territorial claims. Mapping the Atlantic coast was a crucial part of this effort, especially as new settlements and territories began to expand westward. By providing detailed information about the coastline, these surveys helped establish clear boundaries and support the nation's westward expansion.
- **Land Grants and Homesteading:** As the U.S. government began to distribute land to settlers, surveys were needed to delineate boundaries and make the process of land acquisition and settlement more organized and efficient.

4. Scientific and Cartographic Advancements

- **Surveying as a Scientific Pursuit:** Surveying and mapping the Atlantic coast were part of the broader scientific efforts of the time. These activities helped improve geographic knowledge, not only for navigation but also for understanding the natural resources, topography, and ecosystems of the region.

- **Cartographic Development:** The early maps of the United States were crucial in shaping perceptions of the nation's geography. Accurate maps helped foster a sense of national identity, reinforcing the idea of a coherent, unified country. They also helped with internal communication and planning, especially as the nation grew.

5. Diplomatic and International Relations

- **Boundary Disputes and Negotiations:** Surveying the coast was also important for resolving boundary disputes with neighboring countries. Accurate maps played a role in negotiations with Britain (e.g., the Treaty of Paris 1783) and Spain over borders, particularly in areas like Florida and the Great Lakes.
- **Foreign Trade and Diplomacy:** Maps were also useful for engaging in diplomatic negotiations related to trade and access to waterways, as well as for establishing navigational rights with other countries. Accurate coastal maps were a tool for asserting U.S. sovereignty and engaging in international diplomacy.

6. Navigation and Safety

- **Improved Maritime Safety:** Accurate charts of the coastline helped prevent shipwrecks and promoted safer navigation. This was essential in an era when maritime trade and travel were critical to the survival and success of the United States.
- **Lighthouses and Aids to Navigation:** Surveying the coast also allowed for the planning and construction of lighthouses and other aids to navigation, which played a critical role in guiding vessels safely along the coast.

Conclusion

In the early years of the United States, surveying and mapping the Atlantic coast served both immediate practical needs and long-term strategic objectives. It supported the nation's defense, economic growth, territorial expansion, scientific advancement, and international diplomacy. These efforts were foundational to the country's development and helped shape its future as a growing and increasingly influential nation.

x. Trustee

Trustee					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Fox	David	1647	1699	Queenstown, Lancaster County, Virginia	CAJ
Ring	Joseph	1646	1703	Yorktown, Virginia	CAJ
Carter	Robert	1663	1732	Queenstown, Lancaster County, Virginia	CAJ
Kenner II	Hannah	1695	1784	Northumberland County, Virginia	CAJ
Champe Jr.	John	1698	1775	Dumfries, Virginia	CAJ
Veale	Thomas	1725	1793	Portsmouth, Virginia	DDL

In the Virginia Colony of the 1600s and 1700s, trustees primarily functioned as overseers of a specific project, such as the development of a town.

As an example, Hannah Kenner (1695-1784) was a trustee, along with Robert Carter (1663-1732), in a Virginia Colony government effort to develop a planned township, Queenstown, on the Rappahannock River (an effort that failed).

In 1763, the House of Burgesses established a board of trustees to manage Portsmouth's development. On the board was Thomas Veale.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about Trustees

The role of a trustee in government varies by agency and level of government but generally involves overseeing and ensuring compliance with laws and procedures.

ChatGPT Artificial Intelligence Search – Some Examples of the Roles that Trustees Play

Trustees play a critical role in various sectors, including education, healthcare, philanthropy, and corporate governance. Their decisions can significantly influence outcomes for institutions and communities. Here are some notable examples of important results where trustees have played a pivotal role:

1. Higher Education

- **Institutional Growth and Reform**: Trustees often oversee the strategic direction of universities and colleges, enabling expansion, modernization, or improved governance. For example:

    o The expansion of the University of California system in the mid-20th century was shaped by its Board of Regents, leading to its status as a world-class public university system.

- **Crisis Management**: Trustees play a key role in steering institutions through crises, such as financial challenges, reputational issues, or natural disasters.

2. Philanthropy and Foundations

- **Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation**: Trustees of this foundation have overseen initiatives in global health, education, and poverty alleviation, resulting in landmark achievements like eradicating diseases (e.g., polio) in certain regions.

- **Ford Foundation**: Trustees have guided decisions leading to transformative grants for civil rights, arts, and social justice initiatives.

3. Corporate Governance

- **Restructuring Companies**: Trustees (often board members) oversee company decisions during mergers, acquisitions, or restructuring. For example:

    o The role of trustees at General Motors during its 2009 bankruptcy and government bailout helped ensure the company's survival and eventual profitability.

- **Ethical Oversight**: In cases like Enron's collapse, the failure of trustees to adequately oversee management highlights the importance of their role in preventing corporate misconduct.

4. Healthcare

- **Hospital Systems**: Trustees manage the strategic direction of hospitals and healthcare networks, influencing decisions on patient care standards, technological investments, and accessibility.

- The Cleveland Clinic and Mayo Clinic boards have significantly influenced their global reputations for excellence in medical care and research.

5. Public Trusts

- **Natural Resource Management**: Trustees often oversee public trusts that manage natural resources or heritage sites, influencing conservation and sustainable development efforts.

  - For instance, trustees of the National Trust in the UK play a critical role in preserving historical properties and landscapes.

6. Cultural Institutions

- Trustees of museums, libraries, and cultural organizations ensure these institutions remain financially sustainable while achieving their missions.

  - The Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York has benefited from trustees guiding its growth into one of the world's leading cultural institutions.

y. Vigilance Committees

Vigilance Committees					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Eubank II	George	1764	1827	Albemarle County, Virginia	MAE
Young	Matthew	1785	1849	Halifax County, Virginia	GT
Eubank III	George	1796	1851	Albemarle County, Virginia	MAE
Torian	Thomas	1773	1862	Halifax County, Virginia	GT

In 1839, Thomas Torian was one of dozens of citizens on a Halifax Committee of Vigilance. In 1839, Matthew Young was also on the Halifax County Vigilance Committee.

In 1840, a George Eubank appears on a list of an Albemarle County Committee of Vigilance members.

ChatGPT Artificial Intelligence Search – the History of Vigilance Committees in Virginia and other American Colonies, Territories, and States

Vigilance Committees have a complex and multifaceted history in the American colonies, including Virginia. These groups typically emerged during periods of social and political upheaval when established legal institutions were perceived as weak or insufficiently responsive to local needs. Here is a brief overview:

1. Origins in the Colonies (18th Century)

- Vigilance committees began in colonial America as informal groups of settlers organized to provide security and enforce community norms in areas where formal legal institutions were underdeveloped or nonexistent.
- Early committees were often formed to defend frontier settlements against perceived threats from Native American tribes or to address criminal activities in remote areas.
- In Virginia and other colonies, the committees initially focused on maintaining order and security in rural and isolated regions.

2. Antebellum Period (Early to Mid-19th Century)

- During the pre-Civil War era, vigilance committees took on new roles, particularly around issues of slavery and abolition. In southern states like Virginia, vigilance committees often worked to protect the institution of slavery, suppress abolitionist activities, and capture runaway enslaved individuals.
- Northern states also formed vigilance committees, but their focus was quite different. Many of these committees supported the Underground Railroad, helping enslaved people escape to freedom. They provided food, shelter, and transportation while resisting pro-slavery laws like the Fugitive Slave Act of 1850.
- In this period, vigilance committees sometimes took extrajudicial actions, including violence, to pursue their aims. This led to a complex dynamic where "vigilance" was either lauded or condemned depending on the committee's agenda and local societal norms.

3. Vigilance Committees in the Westward Expansion and Gold Rush (Mid-19th Century)

- As settlers moved westward, including into territories newly acquired or settled beyond Virginia, vigilance committees proliferated in lawless mining towns and unsettled areas. The California Gold Rush, for example, saw a surge in these groups, who worked to maintain order in places where formal law enforcement was absent or corrupt.

- These groups typically acted as ad hoc courts, taking law enforcement, judiciary roles, and sentencing upon themselves. While some provided a measure of stability, others contributed to the era's lawlessness through acts of violence or "frontier justice."

4. Decline and Legacy

- By the late 19th century, with the expansion of formal legal institutions, the role of vigilance committees declined. Organized law enforcement and judicial systems provided a more stable and regulated form of justice.
- Vigilance committees had a lasting impact on American culture, highlighting the tension between formal law enforcement and community-driven justice, and raising enduring questions about justice, vigilantism, and the rule of law.
- In states like Virginia, historical vigilance committees are sometimes viewed through the dual lens of community protection and extrajudicial violence, reflecting their complex role in the shaping of American society.

In summary, vigilance committees evolved to meet community needs for security and justice in times of perceived legal insufficiency, often reflecting local values and tensions of the era. However, they frequently operated outside the boundaries of established law, leading to contentious legacies in states like Virginia and across the broader American colonies and frontier regions.

z. Virginia Conventions

Virginia Conventions					
Last Name	**First Name**	**Birth Date**	**Death Date**	**Location of Service**	**Great Grandparent**
Cralle III	John	1724	1778	Williamsburg, Virginia	ABC

John Cralle III (1724-1778) attended the Fifth Convention (in 1776) convention representing Northumberland County.

Google Artificial Intelligence Search - Key Points about Virginia Conventions

Virginia's 1770s state conventions, particularly the Fifth Virginia Convention, played a crucial role in pushing for the Declaration of Independence by instructing their delegates to the Continental Congress to propose a resolution for independence, which was ultimately introduced by Richard Henry Lee on June 7, 1776; additionally, the Virginia Convention adopted the "Virginia Declaration of Rights" drafted by George Mason, which heavily influenced the language and principles of the Declaration of Independence itself.

Fifth Virginia Convention: This convention, held in May 1776, declared Virginia a free and independent state and explicitly instructed their delegates to the Continental Congress to propose a resolution for independence.

"Virginia Declaration of Rights": Drafted by George Mason during the Fifth Virginia Convention, this document outlined fundamental rights and served as a major inspiration for the opening sections of the Declaration of Independence.

Richard Henry Lee's Resolution: Following Virginia's instructions, Richard Henry Lee introduced the resolution calling for independence at the Continental Congress on June 7, 1776.

Impact on the Declaration: The ideas and language expressed in the Virginia Declaration of Rights were significantly incorporated into Thomas Jefferson's writing of the Declaration of Independence.

The 1776 Fifth Virginia Convention was the last of five conventions held in the 1774 to 1776 period to consider Virginia's response to various events happening in all the colonies related to interest in independence from England. The fifth convention declared Virginia an independent state and produced Virginia's first constitution and the Virginia Declaration of Rights. Each Virginia county sent one delegate to the Fifth Convention. John Cralle was Northumberland County's delegate.

These 1770s conventions were critical in establishing a transitional period of governance between the Virginia Colony, under the rule of a foreign power – England, and a newly established, independent state – Virginia. The conventions created a foundation of governance upon which the Virginia State system could and did build.

ChatGPT Artificial Intelligence Search – the History of Virginia Conventions

The **Virginia Conventions** in the 1770s were pivotal in the movement toward American independence. Here is a look at their history, importance, and outcomes:

History of the Virginia Conventions

The Virginia Conventions were a series of meetings that effectively functioned as a revolutionary alternative to the colonial government of Virginia, which was still under British rule. From 1774 to 1776, these conventions facilitated discussions on colonial grievances and served as a forum to decide Virginia's response to British policies and aggression.

1. **First Virginia Convention (August 1774)**: This convention was called in Williamsburg in response to the British Intolerable Acts. It marked Virginia's initial organized protest against the British Crown. It endorsed the Continental Congress and called for a boycott of British goods.
2. **Second Virginia Convention (March 1775)**: This gathering took place in Richmond, where delegates, including Patrick Henry, debated the need for military readiness. Henry's famous "Give me liberty or give me death!" speech was delivered at this convention, signaling the growing determination for independence.
3. **Third Virginia Convention (July 1775)**: Held after open conflict began between British troops and American colonists in Massachusetts, this convention authorized the raising of a militia and began assuming greater powers of governance in Virginia, effectively working outside the British colonial framework.
4. **Fourth Virginia Convention (December 1775)**: By this time, the British Governor, Lord Dunmore, had issued a proclamation offering freedom to enslaved individuals who joined the British cause. This heightened tensions and the urgency to protect the colony. The convention moved toward more definitive governance measures, including overseeing military defense.
5. **Fifth Virginia Convention (May 1776)**: The most significant of the conventions, it took place in Williamsburg and ultimately declared Virginia's independence from Britain on May 15, 1776. This move came a month before the Continental Congress adopted the Declaration of Independence on July 4, 1776. During this convention, the delegates drafted and adopted the **Virginia Declaration of Rights**, authored by George Mason, which laid out essential principles of individual liberties and influenced the United States Bill of Rights. The convention also crafted the Virginia Constitution, establishing an independent government.

Importance of the Virginia Conventions

- **Leadership in Independence Movement**: Virginia was one of the largest and most influential colonies, and its conventions' decisions had a significant impact on the American Revolution and other colonies' approach to independence.
- **Path to Self-Governance**: The conventions gradually assumed powers traditionally held by colonial authorities, setting a precedent for local self-rule and democratic governance.

- **Influence on Founding Documents**: The Virginia Declaration of Rights became a critical model for the Declaration of Independence and later inspired the U.S. Bill of Rights.
- **Promoting Unity**: These conventions helped unify Virginian sentiment against British rule and contributed to broader colonial solidarity.

Results of the Virginia Conventions

- **Declaration of Independence by Virginia**: The Fifth Virginia Convention's declaration of independence on May 15, 1776, marked a clear break from British rule and paved the way for national independence.
- **Adoption of the Virginia Declaration of Rights and Constitution**: These documents were precursors to national efforts and ensured that individual rights and republican principles were established in Virginia's governance.
- **Increased Military Readiness**: Through these conventions, Virginia organized militias to protect against British threats, reflecting the shift from diplomacy to armed resistance.

In sum, the Virginia Conventions played a crucial role in pushing forward the cause of American independence, setting legislative and philosophical foundations for the United States.

<center>**IV.   Lessons Learned about the Importance of Service**</center>

Based on information provided in Sections II and III above, approximately 160 military and governmental positions were held by my ancestors between the 1600s and 1900s. The information provides that of these 160 positions held by ancestors, about 75 of my ancestors accounted for occupying these positions (many ancestors held two or more of the 160 positions).

During this same period, I have had approximately 2,046 ancestors (10 generations of ancestors). So, this suggests about 4% (75/2046) of my 2,046 ancestors occupied military and/or government positions at some time in their work history.

Is there a tipping point below, which for a nation with less than a certain percentage of individuals over a period of time (for example, from the 1600s to the 1900s), the nation will suffer in terms of the nation's success in its development? Some number of individuals (a percentage over time) of those available successfully holding military and governmental service positions likely does relate to a nation's developmental successes.

The following ChatGPT artificial intelligence search provides suggestions that a nation's successes in military and government services provided by its citizens does relate to the nations successes in development.

<center>**ChatGPT Artificial Intelligence Search – the History of Nations' Successes in Development as Influenced by Historic Military and Government Service Factors**</center>

Nations' successes in development are influenced by a range of historic government and military service factors, which have evolved across centuries. Here are some key elements that often explain why nations achieve significant developmental milestones:

1. Centralized and Effective Governance

- **Administrative Capacity**: Strong central governments with efficient bureaucratic institutions tend to promote order, enforce laws, collect taxes, and provide public goods such as infrastructure, education, and healthcare.
- **Rule of Law**: Effective legal systems that protect property rights, enforce contracts, and ensure justice contribute to economic stability and growth, fostering trust in institutions and investments.

<center>115</center>

2. Strategic Military Capabilities

- **Defense and Expansion**: Historically, strong military forces allowed nations to defend themselves from external threats, secure trade routes, and even expand their territories, which often provided economic and resource benefits.
- **Technological Advancements**: Military investment has historically driven technological innovations that later benefited civilian economies, such as advancements in transportation, medicine, and communication.
- **Stability and Security**: Military forces can play a role in maintaining internal security, reducing civil strife, and protecting national sovereignty.

3. Infrastructure Development

- Governments that prioritize building roads, ports, and other essential infrastructure historically enable commerce, facilitate communication, and improve resource distribution, fueling economic growth.

4. Economic Policies and Trade Regulation

- **Mercantilism and Trade Expansion**: From the 16th to 18th centuries, policies like mercantilism promoted accumulation of wealth through trade, colonial expansion, and protective tariffs.
- **Colonial and Trade Networks**: Empires that established extensive trade networks or colonies often had access to a wide range of resources and markets, promoting wealth accumulation and development at home.

5. Military Service and Nation-Building Connections

- **National Identity and Cohesion**: Compulsory military service in some nations has fostered national unity and helped build strong national identities, contributing to social cohesion.
- **Civic Engagement**: Veterans and military leaders often play roles in civic and political leadership, using discipline, organization, and strategic skills honed during service.

6. Strategic Alliances and Diplomacy

- Successful states frequently establish beneficial alliances, enabling them to maintain security, reduce military threats, and gain diplomatic leverage that supports economic and social development.

- **Balance of Power Strategies:** Historical powers used a mix of alliances, military deterrence, and diplomacy to maintain regional or global influence, which often facilitated stable conditions for economic growth.

7. Crisis Response and Adaptation

- **Post-Conflict Reconstruction**: Successful nations often implement effective policies for rebuilding infrastructure, institutions, and economies following wars or crises.
- **Resilience and Innovation in Wartime**: Periods of conflict have historically spurred innovation, leading to technological and social changes that later benefit civilian sectors.

8. Political Ideology and Governance Models

- **Democratic Governance**: For some nations, democratic governance has fostered social stability and economic growth by encouraging citizen participation, protecting civil liberties, and promoting accountability.
- **State-Led Development**: In others, state-led models with significant government involvement in the economy have driven rapid modernization, as seen in countries like Japan and South Korea post-World War II.

9. Social and Military Reforms

- **Land Reforms and Redistribution**: Historic military-led land reforms have contributed to economic growth and reduced inequality in several nations, fostering a more stable and productive society.
- **Conscription and Education**: Military service requirements have historically been tied to broader educational and health initiatives, thereby contributing to the overall human capital development of a nation.

10. Technological and Industrial Strategy

- Military funding and focus on industrial development often generate a skilled workforce, as seen in industrializing nations like the United States during and after World War II, leveraging military advancements for civilian markets.

These factors, while varying widely based on historical and geographic context, illustrate how effective governance and strategic use of military power can foster conditions necessary for development, social cohesion, economic growth, and technological progress.